UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

+ + + + +

DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR

+ + + + +

COOPERATIVE CONSERVATION LISTENING SESSION

+ + + + +

TUESDAY, AUGUST 22, 2006

8:30 a.m.

+ + + + +

REDMOND, OREGON

PANELISTS:

Secretary Dirk Kempthorne

Rick Otis, EPA

Bob Lohn, NOAA Fisheries

Mark Rey, Agricultural Undersecretary

Congressman Greg Walden

Mark Limbaugh, Water & Science, Department of Interior

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

(202) 234-4433

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

W

(8:30 a.m.)

MR.	CASE:	Good morning.	Welcome to the
5th of 24 listening sessions on cooperative			
conservation.	My nam	e is Dave Case	, and I'm the

moderator for the concession this morning.

I'm joined on the podium by Secretary of U.S. Department of Interior, Dirk Kempthorne;
Undersecretary of U.S. Department of Agriculture,
Mark Rey; Regional Administrator of NOAA Fisheries,
Bob Lohn; Deputy Associate Administrator of the U.S.
Environmental Protection Agency, Rick Otis.

Over here I'm joined by Congressman Greg Walden and Assistant Secretary of U.S. Department of Interior, Mark Limbaugh.

Also joined by Colleen McCarty, who is the court reporter who will be recording all of the activities today. And we'll talk more about her later.

Would ask, as I hear some of you doing, that if you could turn off your cell phones and pagers, including if you could turn them off, we'd really appreciate it not just on vibrate. Because these remote mikes do pick up the sound, especially if you come up to the podium or come up to the mike.

We have some other special guests that are off to the side of the stage. If you can come on up.

First introduction, Mark Lumquist and
Dan Scholls, two gentlemen behind me, are part of a
group called the Central Oregon Conservation Youth
Corps. They have worked on the -- yeah, you're
supposed to smile, yeah -- Deschutes National Forest
as part of a program to provide kids and teenagers
with experience, with leadership skills and with
wages, as well. So good motivating factor.

We wanted to recognize these two on behalf of lots of other people who are involved in this program, as they represent our future conservationists. Thanks.

Next I'd like to introduce

Caitlyn Hipman. Caitlyn has, as a fourth grader

last spring, participated in what they call the

1,000 drops education program as part of the Healthy

Waters Institute.

As part of a writing project Caitlyn wrote this: "I have many different purposes. I'm only one drop of water, but I still make a world of difference." She's going to lead us in the Pledge of Allegiance.

(Pledge of Allegiance.)

MR. CASE: Thank you, Caitlyn.

Next I'd like to introduce

Rachel Sedoris. For those of you from Redmond, probably well aware of Rachel. She's a 2003 graduate, Redmond High School. She was born with a rare vision disorder and is extremely sensitive to light. She's a member of the United States

Associates of the Blind Athletes, and had the honor of carrying the Olympic torch in Salt Lake City games, Winter Olympic games.

Rachel has been recognized by the Women's

Sports Foundation in New York City as one of the top women
athletes in America. She has been mushing, sled dog
mushing, since she was three years old. She says,
"It has been my plan to race the Iditirod since I
was eight years old."

Last year in 2005 she had to withdraw from the race because her dog team had a virus. But in 2006 she became the first legally blind woman to complete the Iditirod.

And out of a field of 72 teams Rachel finished 57th. Rachel will sing the National Anthem.

(National Anthem.)

MR. CASE: Thank you, Rachel.

I'd like to start by giving you a little bit of a preview of what we're going to do today.

The main reason we're here is to listen to your comments on cooperative conservation.

We'll first have a few comments from the podium and then a few comments from the floor and then move right into the numbered cards you all received when you came in.

Just kind of a quick overview of that process. When you came in you received a card that looked like this, that has a number on it. What we'll do is just ask people to come to this microphone over here. We'll start with number 1 and just work our way right through the list.

I'm going to ask that we'll start with the first five and have the first five line up.

Simply because we want to try and get as many people up as possible so that the less time we have of people waiting for people to walk up to the microphone the better.

When you get up to the microphone we'd appreciate it if you'd give us your name, spell your last name. As I mentioned, Colleen is our court reporter and will be taking transcription of the

whole meeting. And it's important that we make sure that we get your name as close as possible. So if you could -- if you have a difficult last name to spell. In other words, if your last name is not Smith please spell it for us.

If you represent an organization, what organization -- what organization that is.

We are going to capture all the proceedings, as I mentioned, but there's lots of other ways that you can speak. If you want to have your voice heard via fax you can send in a fax and there's a fax number on there, there's a mailing address on there, and there's also an option that you can go to a web site and enter your information electronically.

When you get up to the podium you'll have two minutes to speak. And I know that's a short amount of time, so I apologize in advance if I have to cut you off. You'll have two minutes to speak. What I'll do is subtly show you a yellow card that when your two minutes are up that will give you 30 more seconds. So try to give you a little signal that your time is getting up.

Again, I apologize in advance for having to interrupt, but we do want to make sure we hear

from as many people as possible. And we handed out, I think, about 130 or 140 cards, so we've got quite a large group here today.

My main purpose as moderator is to keep everything moving along quickly and to keep everybody on track. We do ask that everybody keep on the topic. If you look on the back of that card the subject today is Cooperative Conservation. So specifically those questions that were posed on the back of that card.

Before we can get into the formal program

I would like to acknowledge a few people that are
here in the audience and ask them to stand. First,

Mayor Alan Unger, the mayor of Redmond; Ron Sumpa,
chair of the Warm Springs Tribe; Mike Carrier from
the Governor's office; State Senator Ted Farioli;
State Senator Charlie Ringo; from the Douglas County
Commission, James Mask; State Senator Doug Whitseet.

And there's a number of county

commissioners, I'd like to read through their names.

Doug Robertson; Doug Van Slyke -- or Dan Van Slyke,

I'm sorry, Dan. I've gotten a quick lesson in

pronunciation in the back room very quickly, so my

apologies if I didn't get it right.

Steve Grassity; Anna Morrison;

Scott Cooper; and the city controller from Bend,
Oregon, Jim Clinton.

MR. CLINTON: Counselor, actually.

MR. CASE: Counselor. And there are also -- as we get into the presentations or the listening session we won't have time to be having a question-and-answer kind of give-and-take session, so it's a chance to listen. We won't have a give and take with the people up on the podium. But as I said, there's lots of other ways to make sure your comments are heard.

There are people here, a number of people from the Fish and Wildlife Service, Environmental Protection Agency, NOAA Fisheries, U.S. Geological Survey, that many of them are up front here. So if you have a particular question that you'd like to get an answer to at break or after we complete the session, please come up front and we'll see if we can hook you up with an appropriate person to answer your question.

With that I'd like to take the great pleasure to introduce again the Secretary of Department of Interior Kempthorne.

MR. KEMPTHORNE: Thank you very much.

And thank you all for being here this morning. This

is tremendous. And I believe this is going to be a very productive opportunity where we will have the great occasion of listening to fellow citizens, giving us some ideas that will be very important, that will be ultimately conveyed to the President of the United States.

Let me just mention that as I flew in here last night to Redmond, arriving probably around 5:00, I went over to the smoke jumpers headquarters. I just wanted to go there and thank these magnificent men and women for all that they do for us.

And as I travel around the country it's not unusual to see a group of the Hot Shots that have been disbursed to some part of the country. In McCall, Idaho that I was there Friday a number of people from Redmond had just been sent through there.

So, again, I just -- I acknowledge these men and women and all of the facets of it, whether they are the smoke jumpers, the Hot Shots, the operations, the flight crews, they just do a great job.

Mark Rey said to me this morning that, what, three more crews were being dispatched this

morning. So it's been a tough fire year.

Last night I had a meeting with some of the panelists, then I had a conference call, and then when it -- I just, I needed a little nourishment and it was suggested there's a group here in Redmond that I could go to. I met more smoke jumpers and Hot Shots and local citizens.

This is a good community. I felt very comfortable here.

All of the local leaders and tribal leaders are here. It is wonderful. Mr. Mayor, I'm the former mayor of Boise. You've probably experienced this. But one night I was relatively new, the phone rang, I was the only one there. And it was a citizen that had a particular problem that I didn't know the answer to. Finally, she became exasperated and she said, "Who in the world am I speaking to?" I said, "Well, ma'am, this is the Mayor." She said, "I don't want the mayor, I want somebody lower." I said, "Ma'am, there is nobody lower." Now look where I am. It just gets lower and lower.

And let me say to Greg Walden, your congressman, a very good friend, both of Oregon, but also I count as a friend. And one of the very

articulate members of Congress on natural resource issues So, Greg, I'm very honored to be here with you and all the officials that are here.

We have many challenges at the Department of Interior. We manage one-fifth of the land of the United States. The land and waters we manage is one-third of our domestic energy. I'll be going to Wyoming later today.

Through 531 million Americans who manage relations with 561 Indian tribes, to help protect citizens from forest fires and national hazards, servicing 470 million visitors at national parks, wildlife refuges, and other public lands.

Fortunately, we have many partners at the state and local level who care deeply about the environment and the land in which we live. Without the help of these citizen stewards we could not possibly achieve our conservation goals.

I don't believe that Washington has all the answers, and neither does the President. I do believe people living in Eastern Oregon and Central Oregon and other communities across America can provide valuable insight to problems and often can solve problems more quickly than the federal government.

You're providing great examples of that right here in Oregon with your collaborative efforts on the Klamath River and Klamath Basin, and the Deschutes River Conservancy. You should be very proud of that. Tough issues, been here for years, and yet look at the progress which you're making.

President Bush understands the importance of working closely with local partners. In June he said, and I quote, "We believe cooperative conservation is the best way to protect the environment. This means we must focus on the needs of states and respect unique knowledge of local authorities and welcome the help of private groups and volunteers."

The President also said that through

Cooperative Conservation and moving away from the

old environmental debates that pit one group against

another and towards a system that brings citizens at

every level of government together to get results.

Last year he held a first ever White

House conference on Cooperative Conservation. More
than 1,300 individuals representing hundreds of
organizations met in Saint Louis and provided
illustrations of Cooperative Conservation projects
across the nation.

It's just been discussed how the nation might strengthen partnerships, dialogue, and collaboration to achieve environmental goals. These partnerships among landowners, communities, private sector, tribes, counties, and states hold the nation's greatest promise for achieving environmental goals, reducing conflict, and leveraging conservation resources.

During the next two months we'll build a momentum of last year's conference and work to strengthen these alliances. We'll hold 24 sessions across the country to give citizens an opportunity to talk about Cooperative Conservation. Citizen stewards will be able to tell us what works, just as importantly what doesn't work.

The meeting will focus on issues,
programs, and policies mentioned frequently at the
conference. Topics such as how can the federal
government enhance wildlife habitat, species
protection, and other conservation outcomes through
regulatory and voluntary conservation programs.

How can the federal government enhance cooperation among federal agencies with states, with tribes, and local communities in the application of environment protection and conservation laws. How

does the federal government work with states and tribes and other public/private sector partners to improve science used in environment protection and conservation.

How can the federal government work cooperatively with businesses and land owners to protect the environment and promote conservation. How can the federal government better respect the interests of people with ownership and land and water and other natural resources.

We look forward to hearing your thoughts and ideas about these questions and then putting those ideas into action to achieve results.

We want to hear from all of you and those across the nation. Hearing from you will tell us whether the fish and wildlife service, the land owner incentive program is as effective as possible in conserving wildlife habitat on private lands.

Hearing from you will tell us whether the Endangered Species Act is as effective as it can be in protecting species and allowing landowners to be helpful and innovative in bringing about the recovery of these species. Hearing from you will tell us whether we can restore even more wildlife habitat than we have with partners in the fish and

wildlife program.

This is quite a unique process. Colleen is taking all of these notes, every word. In the moments that you'll have to give us your thoughts you may not be able to cover everything and all of the detail that you'd like, but you can then do so through the written word.

You can identify and raise the issues so that as we go back through this we'll say, Do you remember the one gentleman or one lady in Redmond, do you remember their point about this or that? Do you remember the comment that was made by the rancher, the conservationist out in Oregon?

So you'll be able to put it on the radar screen and give us the data, and then there may be a follow-up or someone may ask you, Will you provide us more detail. 24 of these across the country.

Again, at the end of the day the

President has asked we report back to him. Just as

we sat across the table from him when this was

launched.

So I bring you his well wishes. And I look forward to your comments to help us become effective, even more effective and serving you, our fellow citizens. Thank you for being here.

MR. CASE: Thank you, Mr. Secretary.

As I mentioned, this is the 5th of 24 listening sessions. So far they've been held in Spokane, Washington; Helena, Montana; Roanoke, Virginia; and last night in Columbus, Ohio.

If you're a real listening session deadhead and want to follow around the next one is tomorrow night in Omaha, Nebraska.

But in each of these sessions we will start off by having someone highlight a local Cooperative Conservation project or two. And today we're going to do that by asking Greg Addington from the Klamath Water Association to give us some brief comments.

MR. ADDINGTON: Thank you very much.

Good morning, Mr. Secretary, Congressman Walden.

I'll go with distinguished panel for the rest of you.

My name is Greg Addington, last name is A-D-D-I-N-G-T-O-N. And I'm the executive director of the Klamath Water User Association. First of all, welcome to Oregon. Thank you very much for coming here to listen to the people of this state.

We applaud your outreach and efforts, and I really appreciate the opportunity on behalf of the water users to kick things off here this morning.

The Klamath Water Users Association is a nonprofit association formed in 1953 to represent the interests of water users and water supply and power-related matters. Our members are primarily irrigation districts that receive deliverable water through the Bureau of Reclamations Klamath Irrigation Project, which is just over a century old now.

The project provides water to over 200,000 acres of family farms and ranching operations. What I'd like to do is just provide you this morning with a few examples of some ways, specifically with the ESA, that implementation of that -- the ESA to help us pave the way for Cooperative Conservation.

So I'd like to go through a few of the things that we think need to be addressed in the ESA, then what I'd like to do is end with a few of the good things that are happening in the Klamath Basin.

There are four fish species in the Klamath Basin listed as threatened or endangered;
Coho salmon inhabit parts of the Klamath watershed downstream from the dam, the short nose lost

river -- short nose and lost river sucker and bull trout inhabit the water in the upper basin.

Over the past 15 years Klamath Basin and Klamath projects specifically have provided a telling case study of the implementation of the Endangered Species Act.

Two things are certain, number one, family farms and ranches and the associated economies in the basin are currently worse off and exist in a continued state of uncertainty.

Number 2, an obsession with the regulatory focus on the Klamath project water supply has not resulted in benefit to listed species and it will not. Yet somehow the obsession with regulatory mandates continues and litigation and advocacy and in the media.

In fact, one of the biggest challenges we have in the Klamath Basin is simply to cut through the considerable rhetoric that lies in the path in achieving the solutions.

You'll understand that our perspective is significantly affected by the disastrous experience of the 2001 water shutoff.

With that, quickly some of the things that we see as far as the implementation of the ESA

that need to be addressed.

Number 1, parties who bear the regulatory consequences of section consultations must have a seat at the table. Years ago water users were allowed to interact constructively with the agencies during consultation. However, in the mid-'90s that all changed. Suddenly biological opinions and consultations, we found ourselves on the outside looking in as others are able to determine our fate.

Loss of applicant status diminished our trust in the process and quality of the project.

That status must be definitely and permanently restored.

Number 2, the implementation of section 7 consultation provisions must be implemented.

Resource agencies and regulated public need clear and consistent guidance regarding key principles and concepts. Notable are clear definitions for the environmental baseline and the action which is the subject of consultation.

In addition, services developed a reasonable and prudent alternative, above all else should actually be reasonable and prudent.

2001 services of RPA resulted in no delivery of water for irrigation. Given that the

very purpose of the Klamath project is to deliver water for irrigation you can understand how centuries old can be considered consistent with the intended purpose of the action or economically feasible. Both are components of what RPA should be.

Number 3, implementation of the ESA must contain real incentives to do the right thing.

You'll hear from other people today about this. But the point is, when irrigators, and, frankly, resource people take something on they generally get it done.

Water use in the Klamath Basin and recovery plans for suckers, they developed water bank proposals for land in dry years, been supportive of conservation and restoration efforts throughout the basin. Yet we still have a hard time seeing the light at the end of the tunnel. And at some point there's got to be incentives to keep people going forward.

Integrated strategies for restoration and recovery must be required. I respectfully direct your attention to the National Research Council of the National Academy of Sciences 2004 report on the Klamath Basin. This independent review of the

Klamath science and the processes is a blueprint for successful restoration in the basin. However, we fear for the most part is being ignored.

The observations contained in that report should give concern to anyone who seeks the protection of local communities or healthy fisheries.

I want to point out I do think that under the current regional direction that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and local Klamath area office, I do believe they are responsive to the recommendations in that report. But until it is embraced by the entire water shed up and down the river, NOAA Fisheries and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, we're going to continue as, like I say, restoration basically a rudderless ship.

If we don't have a clear plan for recovery we can look forward to more continued random acts of restoration that will be to no one's benefit.

Sound science and balance. The association strongly supports the effort that

Congressman Walden has called for that requires sound science and critical ESA decision-making and the use of peer review science to the maximum extent

possible.

Flexibility is for people and for species. Biological opinions must contain flexibility and more closely mimic the natural order. Adaptive management should be used to adjust to the needs of the species and integrate the community.

In the Klamath Basin we have two biological opinions; Klamath River and the upper Klamath Lake. Minimum lake levels are required for the lake, minimum flow requirements are required for the river.

It's a prescribed approach. It's determined in the spring. If the hydrology changes, as it has this year, we'll find ourselves in trouble. Wettest water year we've had in ten years and we've got problems.

Lastly, I'd like to end with that we all need to foster opportunities for partnership and collaboration. It is important to note at this time in the Klamath Basin there are opportunities present.

You all know about the fisheries disaster off the coast, the fishing, salmon fishing was curtailed due to low returning number of salmon to the Klamath River.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There are parties out there that took the opportunity to make a political statement with this and point fingers at the Klamath reclamation project with the administration and tried make this thing about farmers versus fishermen.

And despite what you read in the newspaper or hear on the radio, what I can tell you is that the farmers in the Klamath Basin have made every effort to reach out to the coastal salmon community. They have driven over there, talked to fishermen, walked on the docks.

And ultimately what that has led to is joint tours. We've had farmers from the Klamath Basin go over and visit with fishermen, and we've had fishermen and members of the Oregon Salmon Commission come to the Klamath project to look around.

And we get it, we understand each other. We're not that much different and we're not pointing fingers at each other. We're looking for solutions.

Another program I just want to let you know about is called the Walking Wetland Program. And there are people here, Steve Thompson, Fish and Wildlife, Ron Cole, who really should be commended for the efforts here.

It's basically a cooperative venture between the federal government and private land owners. It provides a win/win situation, habitat for wildlife, and it's good for farmers, as well.

With that I want to personally -- one other thing I should mention, and that is relicensing process on the Klamath River. Sincere effort focused in the basin tribes, irrigators, conservation community, states, and the federal government are really moving forward with what is comprehensive solutions. It's not an easy process, it requires some very difficult decisions, but we're committed to trying to make this process work.

I want to thank the leadership of

Steve Thompson and federal and state personnel

for helping foster this improved

environment. We will need continued support,

including collaboration with the states. In order

to fully succeed we also need resources.

Mr. Secretary, we do not view these needed changes to the ESA as in the Cooperative

Conservation to be mutually exclusive. We believe we have to have these road blocks dealt with in order to get to cooperate and more fully work with the other stakeholders in the basin.

Thank you very much for being here today and thank you for letting me have this time here to kick it off. I'd like to invite all of you at any time to the Klamath Basin to look around and see how

things are going. Thank you.

MR. CASE: Thank you very much. We're going to start now the public process. Just to reiterate, the way we'll go about it we're just going to call people in order from the number. We do have four people I'm going to ask to give welcoming statements first and then we'll go on to number 1.

But all of you, please, if you could state your name, the organization you represent, spell your last name for us, if you could, please. We'll have two minutes for each speaker. I'll keep track up here. And when your two minutes are up I'll show you this card and you'll have 30 more seconds to finish up.

I'll try and keep everybody on track. If we -- looks like we're going to go very long, we'll take a quick break later on. But otherwise we're going to keep moving right on through all of the speakers.

So with that I'd better -- I mentioned

four people. First I'd like to ask Mayor Unger to 1 come up, please. 2 3 MR. UNGER: Yes. Redmond Mayor 4 Alan Unger, U-N-G-E-R. That's Unger without the H. 5 Congressman Walden and other elected 6 officials, Senator Kemp -- Secretary Kempthorne, 7 directors and staff, welcome to Redmond and Central Oregon. 8 We have been found by the world. One 9 reason is daily direct flights from Roberts Field to 10 11 five hubs, including LAX. Our population is booming in the eight cities and many destination resorts we 12 have in Central Oregon, which are rural, vacation, 13 and living opportunities. 14 15 With this growth we need more options for infrastructure, roads, utilities, water, new rail 16 corridors through federal land. 80 percent of 17 Deschutes County is federally owned. 18 I want to thank the local BLM and federal 19 staff for the local planning efforts we have been 20 doing, the Brothers, La Pine master plan, which has 21 22 incorporated some of the problems that we have. 23 But government processes have too many rules and they take too long. We are growing and 24

changing too fast for the slow pace of planning.

25

1 | thing

Recreational Purposes Act is a great thing. We have two different golf courses, which is city owned, is challenging and gives us affordable golf. The Redmond Caves is a spot within our city which gives us a rural park field.

We are a playground for Oregon. The impact on our federal, our fragile desert ecosystem is alarming. And I apologize for all the trash the local people put on federal land. We should know better. Whether you're local, state, or federal agencies we are all serving our citizens of the country, our country.

Thank you for coming here today and please enjoy our great country and our great weather.

MR. CASE: Thank you. Also like to invite Ron Zeppa, chairman of the Warm Springs tribe.

MR. ZEPPA: Good morning. It's a good day. My name is Ron Zeppa, and I'm the tribal council chair for confederated tribes of the Warm Springs reservation of Oregon. Thank you for inviting me to come and testify about how cooperative partnerships can improve conservation and environmental quality.

The 650,000 acre Warm Springs Indian reservation is in North Central Oregon about 45 minutes north of here. The tribe has a long history of leading the charge on sustainable environment stewardships on and off the reservation through

governmental regulation and partnership building.

For example, our tribal forests are now managed sustainably with the cooperation of BIA and the tribe and Bonneville Power Administration work together for common mitigation goals on several reaches of the John Day Basin.

Another example of Cooperative

Conservation of the tribe is active pursuit of an expanded biomass federation facility at the Warm

Springs sawmill. Expansion of the tribes' biomass facility to 17 megawatts is expected to generate revenue and jobs for the tribes.

But its value goes well beyond this. It will help provide a market solution for addressing hazardous threats on the reservation and on adjacent federal lands and for co-managing off reservation treating resources on federal lands.

The tribes recently executed an historic memorandum of understanding with the U.S. Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management on adjacent

federal lands to facilitate these goals.

The tribe has also been a driving force in establishing the Deschutes River Conservancy.

The DRC was founded in 1996 by the environmental defense fund, local irrigation districts, and the tribes to address concern about water quantity and quality in the Deschutes River Basin.

This nonprofit group is the first ever to bring together all the major Deschutes River stakeholders, including the federal agencies in a diverse partnership to carry out basin ecosystem restoration.

Using consensus and market-based decisions the DRC has been extremely successful in helping assure clean and fungible water in the Deschutes Basin.

Also, regarding the Deschutes River, the tribes currently owns a one-third interest in the 440 megawatts Roundview hydroelectric project and owns the 19 megawatt re-regulated dam hydroelectric project.

The tribe in partnership with Portland General Electric is a colicensee of the project.

The projects generate significant revenue for the tribes. And PG&E and the tribes are cooperatively

spending millions of dollars on project operations
to create the hydro operations, including investments
to improve fish passage, water quality, riparian and
fish habitat and cultural resources.

Implementation of these improvements is being accomplished through historic partnerships with environmental stakeholders, tribe, and federal, state, and local governments.

The Pendleton project has proved that it can bring diverse groups to the table to cooperatively improve quality and further economic opportunities.

Last but not least, the tribes sees itself as a partner in developing regional solutions for insured adequate and reliable regional transmission. The Warm Springs reservation is currently criss-crossed by numerous energy rights of way. The tribe and EPA view each other as partners in assuring liabilities of critical transmission capacity and reliability. As partners we can address the particular needs of the tribe and utilities and the public.

In conclusion, I cannot more emphasize the importance that partnerships play in the tribe's economic future and well-being. Such partnerships

respect the tribes' sovereignty and achieve common and concrete economic environmental results.

As a tribe poised to increase our involvement and leadership with energy conservation and commercial enterprises, we plan to lead the way in creating partnerships with other parties that capitalize on market solutions to maximize conservation, environmental quality, and commercial success.

That concludes my testimony, other than maybe a request from the tribe and from some of my fellow Oregonians to Secretary Kempthorne that the DRC funding is very unstable. And it has accomplished many things for our region. And if I might, I would like to maybe request, Mr. Secretary, that you look at that and see if there's any way that you could help our region and stabilize that funding and making it available for our region to carry on the important work that we have to do in order to be stewards of our country.

Thank you, again, Greg, for being here. Thank you guys for the time.

MR. CASE: Next is Mike Carry on behalf of Governor Kulongoski.

MR. CARRY: Morning. Thank you.

Mr. Secretary, the Governor sends his fond personal greetings and regrets he couldn't be here today.

Congressman Walden, the Governor thanks you for your outstanding service, and especially thanks you for your recent call to Secretary and his colleagues to come and be heard as part of his request today.

I have a letter presented to you from the Governor. I'm not going to read the entire letter. To save time, in the interest of those wanting to testify, I'd like to paraphrase some of the high points for you and end with a specific request the Governor is making of you today.

He thanks you, of course, for coming here in person and for holding these and making a priority to do this. The administration's initiatives on Cooperative Conservation are much appreciated. They should be continued.

A noteworthy example, Mr. Secretary, as you mentioned, the landowner incentive program.

Oregon's partnered with over 900 landowners in recent years to create and restore habitat. In fact, Oregon has what I believe is the best track record in the country in obligating federal funds for this program to private landowners for

conservation work.

Earlier this year Governor Kulongoski became the first governor in the country to sign the Cooperative Conservation agreement with the Bureau of Land Management for revision of its western lands plan. He's committing the staff and resources of over ten natural resource agencies in the state to assist the Bureau of Land Management in fulfilling its federal obligation, at the same time serving Oregon's best interests.

Soon he intends to sign a similar

Cooperative Conservation agreement with the U.S.

Forest Service, Secretary Rey, to assist in the revision of the national forest plans.

While we may disagree on certain issues the Governor wants you to know that his commitment -- turning our attention to issues of forest health, reducing risk to catastrophic wild fires, and restoring predictable supplies of fiber for our forest economies is what matters most to him. He intends to keep cooperating in those areas.

In Oregon we have great success in recovering the once listed coastal coho that depended much on voluntary support and participation by private landowners. And in particular, by the

forest industry of Oregon.

At this time we're working hard on recovery plans for listed species throughout the lower Columbia, the Willamette, the mid-Columbia, and the lower Snake River.

Implementation of those plans will require cooperation from the state and federal agencies, as well as private landowners. A success story here is really a story about the Oregon plan for salmon and watersheds.

And over the last ten years Oregon's been the national leader in putting to practice of Cooperative Conservation through that plan and on the ground into habitat improvements in salmon restoration.

The Oregon plan of recovery of coastal coho, our model of success in Cooperative

Conservation, but an even tougher test of our resolve awaits us in the Klamath River Basin.

As you all know, we have the problems of water supply, endangered species, energy generation and agriculture sustainability expressed in the basin in the past years. In past years we've seen economic hardship and divisions within communities when water was withheld and irrigators. We've also

seen the consequences on water quality policy decisions that result in the death of thousands of salmon returning to spawn.

Equally painful of consequences occurring this year the nearly total loss of commercial salmon patrol fishing opportunity on Oregon's coast.

So, Mr. Secretary, the Governor's request to you today is as follows: Would you make it a priority to return to Oregon to your fellow cabinet officials who are cosponsoring these listening sessions to develop an aggressive strategy with us for the Klamath Basin.

Further, he urges you to direct all available resources within your agencies to the Klamath Basin, resources available to you now, and to join him in advocating greater support from the President and Congress in future budgets for implementing strategies of development.

He asks that you carry that message back to Washington. The Klamath Basin has reached a critical condition of agricultural and natural resources, water scarcity, and other problems that are creating any kind of hardship, conflict, and loss of confidence in our nation's ability of assured assistance and opportunity to commensurate

with that region. Thank you, Mr. Secretary. 1 MR. CASE: Thank you. Doug Whitseet is 2 the final. And next we'll start with number 1. 3 4 MR. WHITSEET: Good morning, gentlemen. 5 I am Doug Whitseet, W-H-I-T-S-E-E-T. I represent 6 Southern District 28, Oregon Senate. Our Senate district includes all of Klamath Lake and Crook 7 County, western half of Jackson County and the 8 eastern part of the Deschutes County. Sounds like 9 Greg's, doesn't it? 10 11 MR. WALDEN: Part. MR. WHITSEET: 58 percent of our district 12 is owned by the federal government. I want to thank 13 you for traveling to Oregon to hear our concerns and 14 15 suggestions regarding our natural resources 16 management. Many generations of farmers, foresters, 17 and fishers have used our natural resources wisely 18 and productively to produce wood and fiber for our 19 In less than one generation of resource 20 people. management by nonresource users these resources have 21 22 become unsustainable.

Our forests are burning out of control.

Our salmon fishery has crashed on the Oregon coast.

Biological opinions that the National Academy of

Sciences has discovered were not based on accurate or reproducible science five years ago are still being enforced in the Klamath Basin. We can't stop the economic and cultural consequences. Resource users must be, once again, included in resource management if these resources are to be sustained for our future generations. Thank you, gentlemen, for coming here to listen today. MR. CASE: Thank you. I'm going to ask

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

one through five please line up. We're going to pick up the pace. As I mentioned, you'll have two minutes and 30 seconds. And if you could hold the applause that way we can move right on to the next one. Number 1.

MR. BYLER: Thank you. Welcome Secretary Kempthorne, Congressman Walden, and distinguished members of the panel. For the record my name is Tom Byler, B-Y-L-E-R, and I'm the executive director of Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board.

The Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board, OWEB, is a state agency whose principal function is to operate a grant program that funds Cooperative Conservation efforts throughout the state of Oregon.

What I want to -- what I hope you will

leave today with is a distinct impression that

Oregon is a leader and perhaps the leader of

Cooperative Conservation throughout the nation.

What we are doing in Oregon is exceptional. And

what makes it exceptional is the power of local

communities to engage in stewardship and the

empowerment they have through the Oregon plan for

salmon watersheds to manage their resources through

partnerships from private landowners, local

government, and state and federal officials.

You're going to hear from a lot of folks today about the work they're engaged in. I think you'll hear some very good stories from people who are living very close to the land, especially in the Deschutes and Klamath region.

What I want to show you today is this is happening all over the state. We have over 90 watershed councils, 45 water conservation, and other groups who are very engaged in this effort and are doing wonderful work.

OWEB has dedicated state funds that go towards the grant program. Federal funds are a compliment to that and critical compliment to that. We are concerned those resources will disappear soon.

There are two issues that I wanted to emphasize in terms of the federal nexus. And it has to do with resources both at a staffing level. We need your help, we need you at the table, need your constructive partnership on critical issues, need your constructive partnerships on technical advice for our local groups who are putting projects on the ground.

We also need your help in terms of funding resources. About a third of OWEB's funding through the grant program has historically been federal resources. If those go away it is going to be a very big hole in our program and limit our ability to do important monitoring, technical assistance, and projects that support our restoration program.

With that I'd like to conclude my remarks. And, again, thank you for coming to Oregon for this listening session. Thank you.

MR. CASE: Number 2.

MR. JACOBS: Good morning. My name is Larry Jacobs from Sherwood, Oregon. J-A-C-O-B-S.

I'm president of the Oregon Foundation for North

American Wild Sheep. Welcome to Oregon. I

appreciate the opportunity to discuss Cooperative

Conservation issues with you.

An issue of great importance to us and an issue that has been given a significant amount of attention over the past few years is disease transmission between domestic sheep and bighorn sheep throughout the Western United States and on federal lands within these states.

The endangered Sierra Nevada bighorn sheep in California, has as of late, drawn a great deal of attention. Another area of highly substantial risk that we're concerned with is within the Hells Canyon Management Area of Oregon, Washington, and Idaho. And in particular, on Payette Forest and in Idaho.

Mr. Rey, I'm sure you're all too familiar with these issues. And Mr. Kempthorne, as past Governor and Senator of Idaho, I'm sure you're aware of those issues, also.

Clearly, domestic sheep and bighorn sheep must be kept separated or risk major die-offs within bighorn sheep populations due to disease transmission.

We are actively working with the Nez

Perce tribe; the Umatilla tribe; National Foundation

for North American Wild Sheep; Hells Canyon

Preservation Council; National Wildlife Federation; Wilderness Society; Oregon, Washington, and Idaho FNAWS chapters; Oregon, Washington, and Idaho Departments of Fish and Wildlife; and staff from Senator Craig & Senator Crapo's offices in Idaho to come up with a collaborative solution to the conflicts surrounding these issues.

Politics needs to be considered secondary to common sense and effective framing of a win/win solution to the challenges we face. We need to carefully review the science surrounding these issues and resolve them accordingly.

We can use your most able assistance in the timely conversion of high-risk domestic sheep grazing allotments to cattle grazing allotments, exchanging allotments, purchasing allotments.

And also we can use funding to accelerate current and ongoing contagious disease research activities to develop an effective vaccine to help minimize disease transmission between domestic and bighorns.

Through the efforts of President Bush and the Council in bringing forward Cooperative

Conservation, the use, enhancement, and enjoyment of natural resources, protection of the environment,

and collaborative activities between federal, state, local, and private governments and nonprofit groups I hope that working collaboratively we can resolve these most critical issues so important to all parties involved and the environment and bighorn sheep that roam in areas that are still wild and free for all our citizens to enjoy.

Thank you in advance for your most deliberate and careful consideration.

MR. CASE: Thank you. Number 3.

MR. HOUSTON: Thank you. My name is

Ryan Houston, H-O-U-S-T-O-N. I work with the Upper

Deschutes Watershed Council. And as you just heard

a few minutes ago from Tom Byler with OWEB, I

represent one of the watershed councils in the

state. And, in fact, our watershed council is

responsible for this area we're standing right now

and much of the landscape you saw as you were flying

into Redmond.

As a local organization we are responsible for partnering landowners to work on voluntary conservation efforts to help protect water quality and fisheries in the two million acre Deschutes River watershed.

So what I want to talk about are some of

the details very close to the ground and how some of these things -- how some of the work the federal government does can help us do some of the collaborative conservation work we're trying to do here in Central Oregon.

So I want to talk a little bit about a project that's located just about 45 miles west of here out at Lake Creek Lodge on the Metolius River. This is a project where the watershed council and the lodge owner began working together in 2003 to restore a section of creek that was channelized back in the 1930s.

We're looking at taking out concrete,
taking out rip rap, restoring natural habitat to
benefit water quality and fisheries in that area.

This is a project we haven't done alone. We've done
it with the help of Oregon Fishing and Wildlife Board, U.S.
Fish and Wildlife Service, State Department of Fish
and Wildlife, Deschutes River Conservancy, and a
number of other partners.

Since 2003 we've been working with that landowner to build trust, to build the project, design the project, to set up all the pieces that need to be in place so we can actually implement the project in October of this year.

And so it's roughly a three-year planning process to get this set up and actually get it to the point where we're ready to break ground in just a couple of months.

And so now that we are moving toward implementation had a chance to reflect a little bit on how the project has worked and where some of the components of the project have worked well and where there's some components for the federal government to step up and help make projects like this project be that much more effective.

First of all, I think it's important to recognize that successful conservation work takes long term, steady investments. Episodic investments don't help. Essentially, they throw the local balance off kilter, and we lose some of the long-term, stable relationship building that we need out of long-term stable funding that comes with more extensive long-term-type investments.

What this means is that when we're working on projects like the project I just described, we typically have a long-term period of developing a relationship. We need the agency staff, we need the funding, we need all of those components in place so we can develop that

relationship and ultimately lead to the project implementation.

The other important component in making these projects successful is to continue funding some of the key programs that are working. Programs like the landowner incentive programs, programs like the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service partners with Fish and Wildlife Program, which is, in fact, funding the project I just described.

And finally, as Tom Byler mentioned earlier, we need the agency staff in place over the long term to help build these relationships and make these projects effective. On technical assistance, on permitting, and all the other various key components the agency staff play in these types of conservation projects. Thank you very much.

MR. CASE: Thank you. Number 5.

MR. HEISLER: Good morning. My name is Todd Heisler. That's H-E-I-S-L-E-R. I'm the executive director of Deschutes River Conservancy.

And heard a bit about us already this morning. I'd like to -- we're an organization that really epitomizes Cooperative Conservation.

And I thank all of you for coming here this morning to listen to what we have to say about

Cooperative Conservation and give you an idea of how it really works on the ground.

So what I wanted to do is tell you a little bit about our organization, how it's structured, and why this model works, why this is a model that should be replicated, not only throughout Oregon but throughout the country.

In 1996 Congress authorized us with a board that has representatives from all the tribal, private, and public interests. So on our board we have the Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs, farming and ranching, timber, hydropower, tourism, real estate development, Forest

Service, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife,
Oregon Water Resources, and county representation.

So the DRC coordinates all of its decisions by consensus. So all of these interests come together at the table to make resource decisions, particularly about water quantity and quality in the basin by consensus.

And we seek so satisfy all of these diverse needs for water, whether they be for irrigators, tribes, growing cities, which we have many, or for the streams.

So we have pioneered a proactive

collaborative approach that's avoided the conflict in litigation that our neighbors, unfortunately, to the south in the Klamath Basin has experienced so much, and produced tangible cost-effective results.

So despite the many challenges that we face with ESA and others, we've made steady progress. We've been able to restore 137 CFS stream flow through water conservation, water banking. We have implemented water conservation projects with fire, irrigation in districts locally.

We have established a water bank that perhaps is the first, or at least the only existing one that we know that is transferring permanent water. So we're implementing win/win solutions in the basin.

And the take-home message for you is that our organization is in the middle of reauthorization. Thank Congressman Walden for doing a great job in helping us to get reauthorized.

The problem is this is the model that's been invested in for ten years and yet we have a hard time finding a home in the administration's budget. And so right now we face zero appropriation in the budget. And that's obviously a critical problem. But we -- and we hope that you can do

something about it.

Last but not least, Oregon watershed enhancement board is absolutely critical to our success, and so Pacific salmon recovering funds in Oregon are also very critical throughout Oregon, particularly in Central Oregon.

MR. CASE: Thanks very much. Next, number 6 through 10, if you could please come up.

Also, Senator Charlie Ringo, if you can come up in this group, as well, and like to recognize him. I apologize.

MR. WES: Morning. My name's Chris Wes.

I'm vice president with the American Forest Resource

Council. We represent about 94 product

manufacturers and landowners in the western states

and we're based in Portland.

Secretary Kempthorne, Congressman Walden, Undersecretary Rey, and others, we're so glad you're here in Redmond today to listen to our thoughts and concern with regard to Cooperative Conservation and the management of our public lands here in the west.

I have three main things I want to talk about. First, it's been 31 years since the Endangered Species Act was passed. It had great, noble, and good intentions. The reality, though, is

we've done a horrible job of both conserving and recovering species.

It's time after 31 years to do some updating and modernization of the laws, this law and the regulation so that we can get on with the business of recovering species and keeping species off the list.

Second, while NEPA, when it was passed was a noble law, it was actually a very short statute. During the last 30 years the courts have added requirements that are not found in either statute or the regulations.

And we need to take a critical look at the CEQ procedures to simplify the process so the projects can move ahead and that we can minimize the impact the courts have on enjoining well-intentioned and well-planned projects.

But lastly, as we are gathered here in Redmond, we can see and smell the smoke from some of the wild fires burning in the region. I would ask that both the Interior Department and Ag Department conduct a thorough review of your current fire suppression policies, especially as they relate to wilderness, roadless areas, and national parks.

Over the last several years we have

learned here in Oregon from the Hash Rock fire to
Biscuit fire, B and B fire, and this year from
blazes that are started in Bridge Creek, Mount Hood,
Mount Jefferson, and Mount Washington wildernesses.

The catastrophic fires destroy some of Oregon's finest backpacking destinations, diverse forests, Spotted Owl habitat, and spawning grounds.

Your current policies of lackluster fire suppression in these remote areas are allowing these fires to grow uncontrollably devastating the values that we're allegedly protecting, while also burning up private property and manages forests when they escape from the wilderness or park boundaries.

MR. CASE: Thank you. Number 7.

MR. GEISINGER: Good morning. My name's Jim Geisinger. That's spelled G-E-I-S-I-N-G-E-R. I represent Associated Oregon Loggers. We're a trade group representing 1,100 family-owned independent contractors who work in the woods.

I'm going to spend my entire two minutes
this morning on the issue of NEPA. 58 percent of
forest lands in Oregon are owned by the federal
government and there are very little forest service
presence that can make the Bureau of Land Management

25 | that

an d

doesn't have to go through some kind of NEPA analysis.

As Mr. Wes just pointed out, this law's over 30 years old. It was a very simple statute that required agencies to disclose the consequences of major federal actions. That sounds pretty simple.

But after 30 years of litigation and interpretation and reinterpretation by the courts of the regulations to implement this law I submit that it is broken and it's something that desperately needs to be looked at if Cooperative Conservation is going to succeed at all.

Probably the best example I can think of is the salvage effort by the National Forest a couple years ago. Forest Service spent two years preparing an environmental impact statement to salvage the timber that was burned by catastrophic wild fire.

Federal court judge declared the EIS inefficient for a number of reasons, one of them was the Forest Service did not measure the consequences of fires that may occur in the future.

Now, gentlemen, I ask you, how can anyone do that? You don't know when it's going to occur, where it's going to occur, what the intensity is.

But now if we don't have this measure of consequences of what we're going to do we have to measure the consequences of something that might or might not happen. And that's just one of many examples of what needs to change if this law is going to function the way it was intended.

And the consequence of all this, frankly, is many land managers, managers in the agencies have just given up trying to do anything. I can't tell you how many meetings I've been in and others in this room have been in where a district ranger or forest supervisor will say, I'm not going to spend \$2- or \$300,000 preparing an environmental impact statement on a project that's going to get shot down in court.

And this issue is over process. It's not over substance or environmental protection. NEPA was intended to be an environmental disclosure law, and it's been used in a very, very different way to obstruct and prevent projects from occurring on the ground.

This wasn't the intent of the law. The regulation simply needs to be revisited. And for some reason CEQ seems to be reluctant to do that.

So the representative of a variety of agencies, I

would implore you to ask them to take a serious look at that.

And, finally, Congressman Walden, I'd like to thank you for passing a Healthy Forest Restoration Act. It was a wonderful tool to be given to the Forest Service and the BLM. But, unfortunately, it's not being fully utilized to its potential.

We have another catastrophic wild fire and Forest Service restores 5 percent of what's burned and declares victory. That's unacceptable. They can do a lot more, you've given them the tools to do it, and I encourage you to urge them to use it to their fullest extent. Thank you.

MR. CASE: Thank you.

MS. MCNAMARA: Good morning. My name is Darcy McNamara, M-C-N-A-M-A-R-A. And I'm a board member with the Deschutes Watershed Council. I'm here today about the council's work. We have a 16-member board, and we're all volunteers, we're from all walks of life in the area and represent the values of all types of different citizens. And we get together to work on restoration projects and to educate the community.

About eight years ago we got -- the

council got together with Deschutes Basin Land Trust and the Deschutes River Conservancy to begin working on an event what we call Riverfest, which is a celebration of the Deschutes River.

We've been doing that for eight years now. And it is one of the first partnerships between the three groups. Today those partnerships have blossomed into a whole array of different partnerships with those groups and others to build a strong base and working together towards projects.

As you heard earlier from our director,
Ryan Houston, the projects take a long time to
create, and working with federal government and
others to get permits and that sort of thing. It
can take many, many years to bring the project to
fruition.

So I would just encourage continued funding, especially of staffing of federal agencies because we really, really need their expertise and advice and to process permits quickly. And also to think more towards the long-term funding. We're just currently starting to work with some foundations who are looking to get funding for ten-year periods of time, which is extremely helpful to us. Thank you very much.

MR. CASE: Thank you. Number 9. 1 MR. MARSHALL: Mr. Secretary, Congressman 2 Walden, distinguished guests here today. 3 4 Gary Marshall, M-A-R-S-H-A-L-L. 5 I appreciate the efforts been made here to -- by this administration to improve these 6 7 Cooperative Conservations going on. I want to focus my time on one thing that 8 determines success or failure in any activity that 9 the government undertakes, and that is the people 10 11 that are involved in it. 12 We have to recognize that the salvations, we can't use the same kind of actions or continue 13 along the same type of thinking we used to create 14 15 the problem. We have Congress in place that drafts, enacts laws and develops policies, and using the 16 best science. And the actions we take afterwards a 17 18 lot of times or the final outcomes are very 19 dissatisfying. We need to reverse the current cycle and 20 dissatisfaction. And we can only accomplish this 21 22 through the people that are interacting with the local citizens and the stakeholders. 23

 $\mbox{ For the federal government to become more } \\ \mbox{adapted to the collaborative conservation effort I}$

24

25

want to offer these four suggestions: Number 1, the agencies need to fully understand what the collaborative process is. Collaboration is not funding various partners to provide resources so the agencies can move ahead on a particular initiative.

Number 2, the agency employees need to have a broad base of understanding. Many times the employees through their career develop understanding of agency culture but offer limited in knowledge regarding community culture.

Number 3, we need to be willing to resolve issues in a manner that all parties accept the resolution and are willing to implement. Human nature does not trust what we do not know many times, and we need to overcome that.

people skills are many times more important in successful collaboration than we give them credit for. We need to --many times it is -- for the agency it is effective to relocate the highly effective people, and we need to provide incentives for people working in the agencies on a local level are doing well, can be maintained in their jobs at the local spot.

Number 4, personalities and natural

I just want to, again, thank you for the

opportunity to speak here today.

MR. CASE: Thank you. And if 11 through 15 could come on up.

MR. ROBERTSON: Morning, Secretary

Kempthorne, Congressman Walden, Undersecretary Rey,
other distinguished members of the panel. My name
is Doug Robertson, R-O-B-E-R-T-S-O-N. And Douglas

County Commissioner, and also president of the

Association of O and C Counties.

As a member of the Douglas County Board of Commissioners I've had the opportunity to work with both BLM and Forest Service as a cooperating agency, providing the opportunity for local elected officials to work closely with their respective federal agencies on projects and issues that have significant impacts on their communities and counties has incurred the essence of Cooperative Conservation. It is the beginning and a step in the right direction.

For far too long rural communities have felt left out, have felt that their opinions, experiences, and local knowledge have been ignored. And for good reason. In many instances it has been.

A classic example is embodied in a plan

that not only frustrates many of the principles of
the Departments of Agriculture and Interior, but also
serves as an impediment for reaching the state goals
of both agencies as it relates to forest health
protection, wildlife habitat, and species, and
maintaining the stable economy for a rural
community. I'm referring, of course, to
national -- to the Northwest Forest Plan.

It's important to realize that 30 days of frantic, disjointed secret discussions could never produce a comprehensive plan addressing complex issues mentioned above. What it has produced is confusion, division, and litigation. This is just one reason why this fragile concept of Cooperative Conservation provides the opportunity for a new direction, new beginning.

A new direction takes into account, for instance, the advancements that have occurred over the last several decades of forest science and technology. Someone once said that repeating the same action over and over again with the expectation of different results is a definition of insanity. I don't know if that's true, but I know it's not a productive way to solve problems.

I also know that we are all going to face

a true life situation very soon that will put us to the test. Northwest Forest Plan was developed primarily to stabilize and recover the Spotted Owl. A decade and a half after its implementation the Spotted Owl is declining. In some areas dramatically.

Not because of human-generated activities but because of the invasion of the Bart Owl. The Spotted Owl recovery team is in the process of developing its recovery plan with a draft soon to be released.

What a great opportunity to use one of the few useful concepts of the Northwest Forest Plan. The concept is a good one. Trying something new, something innovative, creative, and something besides locking up vast expanses of federal forest land with the hope that something good will happen. The hope that dims with each new fire, insect infestation, and/or the outbreak of arboreal disease.

In closing, let me simply refer to one of the best examples of Cooperative Conservation being undertaken today anywhere in the country. In fact, throughout the country. And it is the Title 2 provision in the rural schools and community

self-determination act.

As you know, in about 40 days that act is due to sunset, and it is our hope that we will be able to continue to work together for reauthorization of that act. Thank you.

MR. CASE: Thank you. 11.

MR. MORIARTY: Good morning, Secretary

Kempthorne, Congressman Walden, distinguished

members of the panel. Thank you for being here this

morning.

My name is John Moriarty,
M-O-R-I-A-R-T-Y, and I am the coordinator of the
Network Oregon Watershed Council.

Watershed councils are a crucial component of the statewide commitment to Cooperative Conservation in the Oregon plan for salmon watersheds that Director Byler mentioned earlier.

Each watershed council has been afforded by its local community and approved by local government entities. Each is composed of a broad cross-section of local interest, including timber, agriculture, conservation, tribal, small landowners, urban, university, and local, federal, and state agencies.

With these diverse perspectives at the

table watershed councils build trust among community members and between communities and government agencies.

This foundation of trust and communication provides a basis for the on-the-ground projects that are aimed at protecting water quality, restoring fish and wildlife habitat, and maintaining working landscapes.

Investments in Cooperative Conservation made through watershed councils compliment regulatory mechanisms and reflect community interests. Watershed councils provide an important vehicle for bringing scientific knowledge and local understanding together to protect -- for productive results.

Councils are operating in communities throughout Oregon. From the coast to the Cascades to the high desert to Willamette Valley. And this morning you're hearing from some of those council members who work in this area and in Klamath and others.

I would ask you to listen to their presentation with an understanding of such stories that are being reviewed throughout the state.

Local action is taking place within a

broad framework from statewide to national goals and objectives. Now, while these actions are locally and community based, the role of the federal government is critical to our success. As you heard earlier, steady, long-term involvement, investment ensures the ability of both councils and other partners at the local level to facilitate the communication and engage in significant long range planning, outreach project implementation, and monitoring, which is key to success.

And it's that effectiveness monitoring, it's knowing what's working and what's not and how to adjust for what's not is also critically important and part of the long-term picture.

Sufficient staffing in local offices for the federal natural resource agencies are vital in providing technical assistance, timely permit processing, and attention to partnerships of both organizations.

Steady and sufficient federal funding is essential to match the significant state and private investment originating in Oregon so that watershed councils and our other partners can continue to work efficiently and effectively throughout the state.

I thank you very much for being here this

morning and for hearing my comments.

MR. CASE: Thank you. 12.

MR. FELDMAN: Actually, I'm 13. I guess number 12 -- I'm Randy Feldman. I'm the assistant forester for PacifiCorp from Salt Lake City.

PacifiCorp's home office is in Portland, Oregon.

I'm also vice president of the Utility
Arbor Association. We're an electric utility
that serves six western states and are responsible
for delivering that commodity.

Unfortunately, trees can conflict with that. Trees contacting transmission lines can start fires, and they can cause catastrophic outages. For example, the August 14, 2003 outage back east was initiated by trees. There were two other such outages here in the Western United States in 1996.

We have been frustrated over the years over what we perceive as a history of inconsistent cooperation with federal agencies over our ability to manage the runaway corridors. Some folks understand the issues and allow us to do so and move tall vegetation. Others look at the timber species and say that's the only tree that is -- only plant community that's appropriate for the use of the land. We get a lot of timber species growing on

transmission corridor.

Now, these ideas have developed over the last five years, including when I was chairman of the task force. Was working with federal agencies to develop a memorandum of understanding to implement best managing practices. Best managing practices has been shown to enhance wildlife habitat, protect the electrical corridor in a cost-effective manner.

That effort culminated last spring the signing of the memorandum. So I ask for your support in promoting provisions of that memorandum of understanding with the agencies over which you have responsibility.

I think it's a good example of cooperation with federal agencies and industry to protect the environment and to help deliver a valuable commodity; in this case, electricity, an environmentally sensitive matter. Thanks for listening.

MR. CASE: Thank you. Number 14.

MR. GASSER: I'm Bob Gasser, G-A-S-S-E-R.

I'm a fourth generation Klamath County resident and

co-owner of Nation Fertilizer, an independent

fertilizer company that employs 27 people.

As a member of the executive board and

Klamath Water User Association and a retailer I'm very familiar with the price that's created by the misuse of the ESA.

One of the prime examples of why we need sound and balance approach to scientific decisions occurred early in our fight for water. I'd like to briefly share this heart-breaking story.

A dear friend of mine, a good farmer, and agri businessman, hard-working, intelligent man who played by the rules was left bankrupt and disheartened by those unjustified decisions.

Shortly after the decision to deny all water to the Klamath project this man received a standard loan approval from his bank to farm the 2001 crop season. All he had to do was sign the paper and send them in.

He chose not to sign these papers based on the no water decision prior to 2001. This farmer had unexpectedly had two poor market years and he needed 2001 to catch up.

Unfortunately, during 2001 he was not able to farm without water. In the fall of 2001 his bank unexpectedly foreclosed on his entire operation, partially based on insecurity of the Klamath water supplies.

After 30 years of farming he lost everything and still has not recovered. Ironically, in 2001, was a huge market year for those who could farm. He could not -- he could have paid off all his debts and had money in the bank.

Add insult to injury, shortly after bankruptcy, National Academy of Science ruled that the water cutoff was not justified. How does one explain this decision to this hard-working family?

Under current regulation natural resource

management should be changed and include food producers who have the

ability to make a difference in species recovery are being eliminated piece by piece, handcuffed by ESA laws.

ESA must be updated using balanced scientific approach by empirical data, not simply some bureaucrat's models and graphs. Why am I telling you this story? Without farmers, fishermen, ranchers, tribes, people on the land, species recovery is impossible. No bureaucrat in a dark office can make models and graphs to save one salmon.

I am proud to say Klamath water use is working with all groups; fishermen, tribes,

environmental groups, and federal agencies. It is nice to say that we all need to work together, but some groups do not want a fix. We must not let these folks stop progress, like they have in the past in the court systems. Thank you.

MR. CASE: Thank you. Number 15. And ask the 16 through 20 to come on up, please.

MS. GASSER: Morning, gentlemen. Thank you for coming. My name is Patsy Gasser, and I represent all those people who have been personally hammered by the ESA.

I'm a fourth generation Klamath Basin resident, also. We are also landowners of private property in both California and Oregon. During 2001 the ESA caused an incredible amount of devastation in the Klamath Basin to people, property, and 430 other species while providing no documented assistance whatsoever to salmon and sucker fish. When the water cutoff was announced our lives were turned upside down.

I hope to never again witness such a man-made crisis. A quarter million acres of good farm land providing a safe domestic food supply was essentially shut down.

Ecologically it was a disaster. Animals

died by the thousands. 1,400 miles of habitat in the form of irrigation canals went dry. The water cutoff left the premier feeding grounds in the Pacific flyway lifeless and barren.

The farmers in the Klamath Basin feed those birds in the Pacific flyway by the hundreds and thousands. But the worst sight to me was the look in the eyes of the people of our basin.

Proud, hard-working, tax-paying Americans were suddenly denied the right to make a living.

Overnight we had no work, our land values dropped from \$1,500 to \$2,000 an acre to less than \$50 an acre. Our businesses struggled to stay open.

Without water to grow crops no one buys fertilizer, tractors, cars, or even groceries as usual.

We were betrayed by our own government because of a decision based on faulty, unproven science. Later the National Academy of Science said it wasn't justified. That was welcome news but it was too little too late for those who suffered stress-related heart attacks, bankruptcies, and foreclosures. We have not recovered.

In 2006 the ESA must be updated to provide the balance in decision-making based on sound science. We must consider people, families,

common sense, along with species recovery. Without this change natural resource users and food producers across the nation need to realize that it will be us today, you tomorrow.

We are so grateful to Congressman Walden and the whole Bush Administration's effort to make these vital updates to the ESA a reality. Thank you so much for coming and for listening.

MR. CASE: Thank you. Number 16.

MR. KENNEDY: Secretary Kempthorne, Congressman Walden.

Thank you for aspiring to see the big picture.

My name's Phil Kennedy. I'm a cattle ranger from Klamath Falls. I'm a member of the Water Users Association, chairman of the Family Farm Alliance, the alliance who advocates to farmers and ranchers throughout 17 western states.

The ranch that I operate depends on water supplies from the Klamath project. Over the last 30 years it's been a private wildlife refuge. In 2001 single species management allocated a thousand acres of private wildlife habitat to two species at the expense of over 400 species indigenous.

I offer you some compliments in some cooperation and conservation and management. I also

give you some suggestions on how the Interior can be a better partner to private landowners in the U.S. around the Klamath Basin.

Willingness to create flexibility by
people like Ron Cole of the United States Fish and
Wildlife Service has resulted in a successful
program. This is a partnership program that
works for the nation's oldest wildlife refuge and
agricultural community.

I compliment the Klamath Basin office, fish and wildlife, as well as the Klamath reclamation project for developing better working relationships since last year. Kudos to Kurt Moss. This has resulted in flexible rotation of biological opinions for sucker and coho.

A direction that is not benefitting the

Interior in regards to actual land ownership. At

the moment we stand here we see Interior to continue to sign
acquisition bills. This direction is contrary to

Constructive Conservation.

Over the past 25 years close to 30,000 acres of productive private irrigated ground has been acquired by the Interior. Besides reducing our county tax base, the result has been to dismantle a very important infrastructure for interstate and

international commerce.

From the history of Wood River Ranch to reclamation acquisition efforts the community has been told that the transfer of ownership and management will result in flexible management.

Instead we have seen more stringent biological opinions that are interpreted to reduce water supply flexibility.

Members of the community have seen how this and many other

examples of how onerous the expensive process associated with Department of Interior can be. Interior's been flat overall in development of the west. That development goes on today at an unprecedented rate and is placing significant pressure on all our resources.

We are better off with Cooperative

Conservation programs in force to strengten each other throughout the west. We ask to continue to advocate for infrastructure and resource development that includes clean water supplies and healthy wildlife habitat.

We look forward to our improving relationships with the United States Department of Interior. Thank you.

MR. CASE: Thank you. 17.

MR. CHARFANT: Good morning. My name is Brad Charfant, that's C-H-A-R-F-A-N-T. I'm executive director of the Deschutes Basin Land Trust. I'd like to thank Congressman Walden, Secretary Kempthorne, Undersecretary Rey, distinguished gentlemen for coming here today.

We're in the heart of one of the fastest growing parts of this country, and we're facing unprecedented challenges with growth. It brings a very vibrant community but it makes it very difficult for local communities to absorb the kind of rapid change that we're seeing here.

My organization, Deschutes Basin Land
Trust, is all about Cooperative Conservation.
That's our bailiwick, that's exactly what we do.

And I want to emphasize that here in Oregon, here in Central Oregon, we've got a proven track record. We've achieved great things. You heard earlier about the stream miles have been restored, that we've seen water come back into the streams. We've done unprecedented things.

Our partnership with the Confederated
Tribes, Portland General Electric, the DRC,
Watershed Councils is leading to reintroduction of

Ιt

the legendary runs of salmon and steelhead in the upper Deschutes Basin.

We've also worked with the legislature, we've enacted legislation that will allow us, we believe, to conserve some of the very important vibrant timber land that is facing a loss of productive use, likely to be converted to residential, recreational use. We'd like to keep those lands in production.

But the emphasis I need to put -- or the point I'd like to make today is we need your help.

Let us do the cooperative innovative work, but help us with the funding. Whether it's OWEB and the Pacific salmon restoration funding, forest and legacy, we need your support, we need that continued support over a long period of time.

Cooperative Conservation only works if folks on the ground have your support and the funding to make the job work. Thank you.

MR. CASE: Thank you. 18.

MR. COMPTON: I'm 19, if that will work.

Thank you. My name is Dave Compton, C-O-M-P-T-O-N.

23 And I'd like to thank you for coming to hear our thoughts.

24 is an honor to be before such a distinguished

25 group of gentlemen.

One of the criteria, I think, is that you
must be able to consume 47 times your weight with what is grown
every year. And you seem to be doing that pretty

well.

The fact is there is acid out there. Our growers started growing in the Parkdale area back in 1896 with the first water right. Our growers are very progressive and have made many on farm improvements some of which are canals, put in sprinklers, and most recently are growing -- growing number of acreages are being irrigated through microsprinklers.

These improvements have all been paid solely by the growers. The growers have been recognized for efforts that help improve items to facilitate better fish habitat, passage, and water flow. These efforts have been noted by receiving the Friends of Fishery Program Award.

Many growers in our district strongly support protection of the wilderness. We definitely have a diverse group of growers. Many of them are stumped by the process.

These costs have been all paperwork, process, and legal fees. None of this money has improved anything on the ground.

So if you ask how can the federal

government work with state and tribal and local folks, give them more control. We have some solid individuals, good people at ODW, biologists, very solid individuals, should not have to compete with others at a table.

We are trying to work together in an adaptive managerial process. You will have a federal agency make statements like, well, they're in favor of fish, we want to do this. These and other types of statements are only made to put you on notice about who has the power at the table. There will never be progress made between contrary positions.

We are very fortunate to have district forest manager, Diane Bambi, to help bring balance to the discussion on fish, farms, family, and the future.

We do not like the taste of foreign oil and dependency. Wait until we try to taste the foreign food policy. By now USDA web site shows a \$9 billion export of U.S. food products, but a \$12 billion import foreign food products. Or, my calculation, about a \$3 million shortfall.

Uniform irrigation strongly supports the conservation effort, adaptive management process.

And let me say that -- and the updating of the ESA.

Thank you.

MR. CASE: Thank you. 20.

MR. BLANK: Secretary Kempthorne and other members of the panel, thank you for coming to Central Oregon. My name's Herb Blank, I'm a member of the Upper Deschutes Watershed Council. Also a member and board member of Central Oregon Fly Fishers.

My wife and I retired to Central Oregon about five years ago to enjoy outdoor recreation, including fly fishing. We both are fly fishers.

We've been disappointed and saddened to see the degraded situation of many of our rivers and streams here in Central Oregon.

Oregon needs organizations like the

Deschutes River Conservancy and Watershed Councils
in order to restore the flows in our rivers and to
upgrade and maintain stream channels and riparian
areas. Improvements to water quality and water
quantity means better fish habitat and better
recreation opportunities.

Stable general funding can leverage local funding, stimulate volunteer activities in these conservation activities. This is my simple message.

Appreciate your time. Thank you.

MR. CASE: Thank you. 21. If 21 through 25 could please come on up I'd appreciate it.

MR. LOFTUS: My name is James Loftus,
L-O-F-T-U-S. I'm going to share with you my
experience of Cooperative Conservation. It was in
the middle of last year I was approached by the
county tax assessor, and they threatened me with ten
years back taxes unless I put a conservation
easement on my property.

So I asked them to leave me the documents. I subsequently sold my farm. I don't know what happened, whether the individual who purchased it was required to get a conservation or not, but I consider it extortion.

Where is private properties in this discussion? If you folks are really interested in hearing from the people instead of watershed councils, I'm talking about the farmers. You guys should be ashamed of yourselves. It's in the middle of harvest season, farmers are in their field right now.

So if you want, I would be more than happy to put you on a tour so you can go and visit some of the people like I did last summer down in

Klamath Falls.

Greg Addington didn't tell you about the family where the father killed himself because he had no water. Or the U.S. marshals with automatic machine guns and a little old lady trying to water her cattle and was being threatened with being shot if she did so.

This is America. And this family was utterly destroyed. They were fifth generation farmers in this state. Where are the farmers? Can I see -- raise your hands if you're a farmer in here.

So you guys are outnumbered. The endangered species here is the farmer. And it's real simple. The ESA has not been reauthorized. All you have to do is not appropriate any funds. Repeal the damn thing. Have a nice day.

MR. CASE: Thank you. 21. Go ahead.

MR. GIBBS: 22. Thank you all for being here. My name is Jake Gibbs, G-I-B-B-S. I'm a forester with Loan Rock Timber in Roseburg, Oregon. And I'd like to share with you my experiences with Oregon's success story on Cooperative Conservation and my opinion of the Oregon plan.

But first, before I start on that I'd

like to echo Mr. Geisenger's comments earlier on NEPA. My concern is if there aren't changes done at that level then all the stuff on the ground becomes very difficult to implement.

I've been active in the Oregon plan locally and at the state level. My local involvement has been through my watershed council, the partnership of the Umpqua rivers, which Secretary Kempthorne, Department of Interior 2006, take pride in America award.

Working through their organization I've been involved implementing on the ground instrumenting habitat improvements, forming watershed assessments, et cetera, across public and private lands.

I'm also president of the board of director of Oregonians for Food and Shelter, a statewide organization farmers, ranchers, foresters, and applicators who are focused on natural resource issues.

Northwest members and staff engaged at the Oregon plan state level working on policy issues, funding priorities and policy implementation.

This decision is a prime example of the

outcomes possible through Cooperative Conservation. 1 Scientific findings determined by a team of federal 2 and state scientists, coupled with our efforts on 3 4 the ground, were recognized as a major contributing factor in the decision. 5 6 I tried to answer the questions that were 7 provided on the web page and did the following list that's my hope in answering this. 8 9 I'd like to take this opportunity to share with you why the Oregon plan has been 10 11 successful and point out some obstacles that remain 12 in our way. Why the Oregon plan works. Recognition 13 of the value and active management. Oregon plan 14 15 takes a proactive approach to species identified. I'm 16 forester, I can understand that. 17 The Oregon plan recognizes the dynamics 18 of the situation and while precautionary resource 19 management may contribute. There is no evidence that actively 20 working in 21 22 enhanced and restored habitat will recover species more efficiently. 23

The private landowners and the species

The coho salmon, the majority of that

24

25

recovery.

habitat is on private land. The Oregon plan 1 works by means of financial, technical, and nonregulatory 2 to encourage private landowners to voluntarily do 3 4 the right thing. 5 It was recognized in another set of rules 6 and regulations limiting use that would not

result in timely species recovery.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Cooperate rather than regulate. Oregon plan allowed state agencies to move from regulatory agency to landowner system capacity. I'm proud of my relationship with my local fish and wildlife, state fish and wildlife.

The state's committed expert staff to assist in identification and implementation of on-the-ground projects.

My personal experience, I've gone from avoiding agency staff contacts to initiating contact to explore enhancement opportunities as part of my team efforts.

Thank you. While you're MR. CASE: coming up, we will take a break at 11:00. You no doubt noticed they've been served coffee up here and water. So we will take a break at 11:00.

MS. SUTER: Ladies and gentlemen of the panel, my name is Marnie Suter, S-U-T-E-R. I'm the district manager for Harney Soil and Water

Conservation District. I am also a board member of
the High Desert Partnership, which you heard about a
little earlier. And I'm also very proud to be a
small cattle operation owner.

Today I'd like to talk to your five points. What it boils down to, and you guys -- I saw a few heads shaking earlier, is personalities.

It's how you federal managers put your management on the ground.

I think it's important to have a system in place for your people that are working effectively through this collaborative effort locally to stay in place, offer some incentives for those folks to stay in place so that they can effectively promote Cooperative Conservation on the ground.

Secondly, I think a big thing that we see anymore on the landscape is academia. And no offense against academia, but what happened to practical experience?

When you guys are writing plans for folks and have no understanding of what it means to go build ten miles of fence, but yet you're planning to make a producer or a landowner build those ten miles

of fence, I think that's really important to instill in your -- in the people that you're putting on the ground.

I want to end in a positive note. Five years ago when I came to Harney County I fell off a watermelon truck coming out of the Columbia Basin.

But we were litigating, everybody was fighting, or seemed to be fighting. The conservation district was suing Mount Rainier National Wildlife Refuge.

There's a lot of animosity. But really what it comes down to is federal agencies have some really good personalities sitting at our table. And without those personalities we wouldn't have gotten over our road bumps.

And so the parting question to you is, is there a mechanism to implement all five of these points to keep good people on the landscape, to have the ability to keep those folks there through incentives financially or what have you, and listen to your local people that are pulling together in these cooperative efforts, and have some buy-in and ownership from the locals instead of somebody making a decision in a remote city from a landscape.

So the High Desert Partnership is working

with these people to put things together in a cooperative manner so that we can get away from that litigation and bring that environmental community to the table so that they understand what we're about and we understand what they're about so that we can move forward, that we're not continually litigating and debating what exactly we should do and we're getting progress on the landscape. This is what Cooperative Conservation is all about. Thank you, guys, for coming.

MR. CASE: Thank you. 24.

MS. LIVINGSTON: Presiding and participating members, I thank you for the opportunity to speak to you today about the Endangered Species Act and its impact on ranchers.

My name is Sharon Livingston,

L-I-V-I-N-G-S-T-O-N. I operate a ranch at Long

Creek, Oregon. I'm currently serving as president

of the Oregon Cattlemen's Association.

My affected members asked me -- requested me to read today from Case Number 06-946-K1 filed in the United States District Court for the District of Oregon. A local environmental group and an out-of-state partner have filed a complaint against

high-ranking officials and agencies, some of who are represented here today, for declaratory and injunctive relief challenging the failure to comply with the Endangered Species Act and managing public lands for steelhead, trout, and bull trout in Eastern Oregon's Malheur National Forest.

The charge is that flawed biological opinions have been issued at the expense of threatened fish habitat and to the benefit of domestic livestock grazing.

The above litigation affects 16 allotments, 26,659 per minute animal unit months, and 23 permitees. This is another attempt at removing all historical grazing from the gem of Grant County of Malheur National Forest.

National Forest is located and is under public management. Without grazing on public lands these 23 permitees and their families will be out of business and our local economy will be greatly affected.

Outside interests have purchased land in Grant County removing it from traditional grazing and make it economically impossible for permitees to replace, purchase that grazing land which might be

removed from their use by the above-mentioned litigation.

Despite increased monitoring and increased management practices we're still under extreme pressure of losing grazing on public lands. At the end of the day no matter what we do it is never enough.

Unlike nonprofit groups, our local ranchers do not receive funds to answer these litigations. And our agencies are forced to spend very valuable dollars on such litigation at the expense of improvements on our Malheur National Forest, and at the expense of the permitees who must file to protect their interests.

I recommend cooperation, collaboration, and consultation to replace litigation and legislation. On behalf of my membership I ask that you work diligently to stop these lawsuits that are draining our local economy, put the dollars back into improvement, and help our agencies to continue the fine job that they are trying to do. Thank you for your consideration today.

MR. CASE: Thank you. 24? 25? Okay. Moving right along.

MR. FERRIOLI: State Senator

Ted Ferrioli. Spelled F-E

double R, I-O-L-I. Congressman Walden, my

congressman, Undersecretary Rey, Secretary

Kempthorne, my friend Bob Lohn, members of the

community, thank you for being here. It's my honor

to be here to listen to citizens talk about the

issues they feel must be addressed here.

I'm proud to talk about what we can do to promote conservation, apply improved science, enhance cooperation, and focus on the most important thing, which is outcomes.

Outcomes do not occur in federal bureaucracies. They don't originate in the minds of federal bureaucrats. They occur when a landowner cares enough about the land to invest personally in making the outcome better, removing an obstacle, curing an ill, or making the system function as it should function.

Usually that occurs when a landowner contacts a water conservation district, director, or a person from the watershed council, and they get together and design an improvement so that the outcome is better. This project is the best form of Cooperative Conservation and it happens on the ground.

There's a lot of informal discussion that takes place with folks from water conservation districts, from state agencies like water resources, or the Oregon Department Fish and Wildlife, to start the design of that project.

Once that project gets approval by the watershed council or water conservation district then it's kicked up to state agencies for permitting. And usually the state agency already knows that it's coming. So that process is pretty easy. And it's where we can make our investment from the Oregon watershed enhancement plan or Oregon plan for salmon and steelhead.

But God help that landowner if during that process the federal nexus is discovered.

Because that begins the process for conflict.

And that also begins the process for the erosion of trust, the loss of focus, and the possibility of jeopardy, which creates all sorts of additional prerogatives that are exercised by the same kinds of people that we've been talking to; watershed biologists, wildlife biologists, fisheries and conservation biologists, but at the federal level.

And those folks can't seem to resist the impulse to add their two cents and to change the

direction of that project. It adds uncertainty and frivolous costs and creates delays.

Colleagues and friends, members of the panel, let's focus on the outcomes, let's find a way to get a finding of no significant impacts on projects that are designed in the watershed level by fisheries and wildlife biologists. Get off the high horse, let these projects go forward with a minimum of exercise of prerogatives at the federal level. That's the path of Cooperative Conservation. Thank you for listening.

MR. CASE: Thank you. 26.

MR. MCVAY: Good morning. My name is

Rocky McVay, M-C, capitol V, A-Y. I'm the executive

director of the Association of Oregon and California

revested grant land counties.

The association of OC county's made up of counties from Western and Southeastern Oregon in which apply a special category of BLM managed timber lands known as the Oregon and California revested grant lands.

The OC lands are dedicated by federal law. The 1937 OC Act, also known as the McNary Act of 1937, come under the jurisdiction of the Department of Interior and managed by BLM for

permanent timber production.

That act directs managing agencies as follows: Timber therein shall be sold, cut, and removed in conformity with the principles of the sustained yield for the purpose of providing a permanent source of timber supply, protecting water sheds, regulating stream flows, and contributing economic stability of local communities and industries providing recreation facilities.

The OC Act is clearly a timber dominant use act interpreted by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals rather than multiple use act. Since the passage of the O and C Act numerous laws have been enacted.

Because most of these laws did not explicitly exempt management of the OC lands for coverage they may conflict with the purpose of the OC Act.

Interestingly, the Federal Lands Policy
Management Act of 1976, split -- has a provision,
Section 701 sub B that makes it clear that
production is limited by the provisions of the 1937
O and C Act.

The O and C act directs 75 percent of receipts from the sale of timber be distributed to

the 18 OC counties. Over the years the county voluntarily returned one-third of their entitlement to be filed back at the management of the lands.

These filed back funds with a present value exceeding \$2 billion help pay for the reforestation, road construction, and maintenance, campgrounds, recreation facilities, and other improvements on the lands.

The O and C counties participated in Cooperative Conservation before it became popular with hard dollars, dollars that would have come to the counties.

Currently, 50 percent of the total shared receipts paid to the counties now being paid for for the rural schools in the 2000 act is set to expire at the end of September, formed an essential part of the counties' budgets, helped in paying for health and social services, law enforcement, correction programs, and many other public services.

The Association of OC Counties would urge Secretary Kempthorne to direct agencies under his responsibilities, BLM, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, to work cooperatively in the development of new resource management plans for the Western Oregon

BLM districts as directed by the 2003 settlement agreement, as well as the Northern Spotted Owl recovery plans.

Leadership is needed to direct these two agencies to coordinate, cooperate, and communicate on both the recovery plan for the owl and the new R and Ps so we have the best product we can.

MR. CASE: Thank you.

MS. JOHNSON: Good morning and welcome to Oregon. Secretary Kempthorne, Mr. Rey, Congressman Walden, and others, my name is Valerie Johnson. I'm here this morning representing my father, DR Johnson, and our company.

DR Johnson and affiliated companies are five sawmills, one laminating plant, two cogeneration plants, and various public -- private timber and cattle holdings.

The company was founded just prior to my birth, nearly 55 years ago, and has truly been a complete family business every moment of my life.

My sister, my brother, and I are the second generation of our family that are fully committed to keeping our company operating in the five communities in which they're located, both on the west and east side of our state. Two of our mills

work as cogen plants on the west side and the remainder of our operations are on the east side.

Gentlemen, I'm here this morning on behalf of my family to agree with the expert and thoughtful testimony of the fine technicians of our forestry association representatives. They've done an excellent job of talking to you about the specifics that need done. But I'm here to try to put a punctuation mark on the urgency of getting them done.

We're strong supporters and admirers of President Bush, and we believe fully that he was absolutely sincere in his campaigning and since then to help us until the juggernaut that has strangled this region for decades now.

But we know he's, unfortunately, also needing to deal with a few other issues around the world.

And, consequently, while we are great admirers of Congressman Walden, Congressman Campo and others who have worked hard to do things to improve the health of our forest and improve the way the Endangered Species Act works, it is to the agencies themselves in the administration that can get the job done.

I'm here speaking for my brother and my sister, as well as my parents urging you to move more quickly, go deeper into your organizations, push them harder, make them use some common sense, help them find ways to get things done now.

Because, as you know, our national resources are perishable products and will not withstand five years after a fire and have any value left.

Please, with urgency, make the improvements that you're being told about this morning and don't let another season go by where the situation gets worse. Thank you.

MR. CASE: Thank you. 28.

MR. VAN SLYKE: Secretary, gentlemen, thank you very much for being here. My name is Dan Van Slyke, that's V-A-N-S-L-Y-K-E. I'm county commissioner for Douglas County.

I've got a really simple sort of straightforward message. One is that over 50 percent of our total revenue coming into the county is derived from the safety net. So all these issues, forest management issues, are extremely important in Douglas County. Cattle, one-half of our total revenue is derived from this source. So

it's a paramount concern to us.

I want to share very quickly about a Cooperative Conservation agreement we have with BLM that's been very, very helpful in our area. We had a substantial fire south of where I live. And in the rehab effort an environmental extremist group that actually filed an appeal, when we as a county stepped up as a cooperating agency member and helped beat back that appeal, we actually stepped in and made it known that we stepped in financially and cooperating with the BLM against the appeal process.

And they went through their appeal.

There was real substance in that cooperation of us stepping forward with the agency and agencies working together.

We had some real struggles with the

Forest Service in our area. They had a major

project that was going to take out 80 miles of road,

decommission a huge area up the North Umpqua

drainage.

It was significant because, especially for fire suppression, had no real means to really communicate well with them. We went back to this cooperative agency agreement we had formalized with the BLM and moved forward and actually implemented

that with the Forest Service.

So on behalf of Douglas County, I know you've got a lot of folks coming up here saying, not a lot of things work, need to do more, but there are some things that really do work. And cooperating with another, we have seen it really work on the ground in Douglas County.

So, again, thank you very much for being here today.

MR. CASE: Thank you. 29.

MR. PLATT: Good morning, Secretary

Kempthorne, Congressman Walden, Undersecretary Rey.

Ernie Platt, P-L-A-T-T, here representing the Oregon

Homebuilders Association and the National

Association of Homebuilders.

In any conversation about Cooperative

Conservation, really needs to begin with the

compliance requirements that all of us are dealing

with, both federal and state. And in particular,

those associated with the Endangered Species Act.

Homeowner industry operates under quite a complex set of federal and state regulatory requirements. And any opportunity this administration has to leverage the tremendous resources of our home building counterparts it's

going to be through Cooperative Conservation in the context of the existing regulations that we as home builders and developers and other private property owners must abide by.

Unfortunately, these -- this framework of requirements is very awkward, down right rudimentary. And the regulations themselves are oftentimes largest impediments to any kind of Cooperative Conservation.

The history of these statutes -- in the history of these statutes, been little, if any, active effort to encourage landowner cooperation, thus proactive steps are needed both in the environmental quality area, species and habitat designations are often at odds with other regulatory requirements.

Enhancing Cooperative Conservation is going to require that we address these archaic, outdated, ineffective, and inefficient regulations. Indeed, a strong commitment needs to be made to remove some of these areas and the conflicts that they have with other agencies.

The particular areas, and I won't go into great detail, the written testimony covers these, it's in the Endangered Species Act areas where we

have undefined terms with respect to adverse 1 modification jeopardy, and in the habitat 2 3 designation process. 4 And quite frankly, in that area, as you 5 all know, Congressman Walden introduced legislation 6 through the House, it's in the Senate 7 now and would go a long way to addressing that particular issue. 8 The other two are under the Clean Water 9 Act Administration, storm water management, and 10 11 weapons management. So I'll leave the particulars of that to the testimony, the printed part of the 12 testimony. 13 Thanks for the opportunity to be here 14 15 today. Thank you very much. 16 MR. CASE: Thank you. MR. MORGAN: Thanks for coming to our 17 18 listening session in our area today. It's M-O-R-G-A-N. We're here today to testify about the 19 impact the ESA and NEPA process has on federal land 20 management or forest hill management of our forest 21 22 and national grass lands. 23 For an example, you heard this morning on the Hedge Hog fire, which is about 35 miles east of 24

here. That fire burned 18,500 acres. 15,000 of

25

that acreage was in the wilderness area, so that left 3,500 acres that couldn't be managed.

And the federal land managers repaired and put up a timber sale to harvest approximately 600 acres of that 3,500 acres, which did sell, was appealed by the -- which was appealed. And with the delays and everything a total of 47 trees was harvested on 18,500 acre property.

This is the kind of impact that we have.

And you can see it going on today when you see the smoke in the area. It's not about global warming.

It's about being overstocked, it's about being diseased, insect infestation.

They have ignition and they burned. And it's not only in the wilderness areas when it comes out very strong, and sometimes to the community's detriment when it comes out of the wilderness areas. Much of the resources are being lost and being wasted.

These are the kinds of things that has social economic impact to the local communities.

And so with the process of NEPA we need to be able to take the federal management away from the federal judges and the court system and put it back into educated with science that is peer reviewed and be able to manage the forests in a way that would help

education, control catastrophic wild fires and give
us a social boost to -- and economic boost to the
communities. Thank you.

MR. CASE: Thank you. Next, 31. If 31
through 35 or next person in line, go ahead and come
on up.

MS. BROWNE: Thank you for taking your
time to be here today. My name is Peggy Browne,
that's B-R-O-W-N-E. And I'm here from Baker County
where I represent Baker County Farm Bureau. And I
just want to quickly give you an outline of what's
not working in one specific case that I think holds

true for everywhere.

And that is, not so long ago we underwent a habitat designation. And in one drainage of our watershed bull trout was listed as -- that drainage was listed for bull trout critical habitat because somewhere a fish biologist wrote trout down -- bull trout, question mark. And that's all it took.

Now we're facing the consequences of that. We recently had a landowner trying to do an equip project, the project went through, it was funded, they had pipe on the ground, they had an excavator there ready to start digging on the existing pipeline. Somebody said, Oh, wait,

bull trout critical habitat, project done, not going anymore.

There's more and more instances of this.

This is just one specific case I had time to tell

you about today. And details are in my testimony

that I've submitted.

This is obviously one point where there was not enough trust, there was not enough partnership. Because, you know, in the national resource and agricultural world a partnership is kind of a 50/50, normally, deal.

And a lot of times -- in fact, a landowner told me just yesterday, he says, "Well, it sounds like an instance where there's 98 percent dictatorship, 2 percent partnership." And that doesn't work.

So I'd like to end today by again thanking you for your time, letting you know that we do appreciate some of the programs that come to us, the opportunities that we have to participate in them.

And specifically, our watershed is anxiously awaiting the conservation program in the hopes that it will bring extra added dollars to our producers. Thank you.

MR. CASE: Thank you. Your number so I 1 2 know where we are? MR. HORSLEY: 32. 3 4 MR. CASE: Great, thank you. 5 MR. HORSLEY: Good morning. I'm 6 Luther Horsley, H-O-R-S-L-E-Y. I'm a Klamath Basin 7 farmer, and I'm vice president of Klamath Water Users Association, and president of Klamath Drainage 8 District, and I'm a director on the Northwest Farm 9 Services Board. 10 11 Thank you for traveling to our area to listen to my concerns. The theme of your listening 12 sessions is conservation. And as a farmer I think 13 that I'm where the rubber meets the road on 14 15 conservation. The last thing I want to see is anything 16 degrading the quality of my farm or my environment. 17 I don't want to buy fertilizer to see it dumped in the 18 river. That's the last thing I want to see. 19 I think it's extremely important for you 20 folks in Washington, D.C. to be aware of the effect 21 22 that the rules -- excuse me, be aware of the effect 23 that the lack of continuity between government agencies and courts has on us who have to live under 24

the rules and regulations that you create.

25

A scenario has been created, and that has resulted in full employment for lawyers and consultants and lobbyists and everything that are nonproductive for us on the farm.

Since the sucker fish are listed as a native Klamath drainage system has spent 48 percent, nearly half of our annual acreage assessments that we assess our landowners on lawyer fees with no tangible results.

Had we been able to apply those funds toward infrastructure improvements and things of that nature we could have gone a long ways to resolving water problems and improving water quality and making more water available to downstream uses.

These kind of expenditures are cost-effective for us in the district, but we cannot -- we can't sustain this if we have to spend half of our assessments on projects with few results.

The best thing I think for preserving open spaces and conserving habitat for wildlife is that we keep it farming. Because if I'm profitable I can invest in technology that improves environment. If I'm not I'm going to have to sell out to developers. And any conversion of ag lands away from agriculture uses more water than they do in ag.

There are positive things happening in 1 the Klamath Basin. In my tenure I've seen the 2 environment improved greatly. And a lot of it has 3 4 came about because of cost share programs that you 5 folks put out. Thank you very much. 6 MR. CASE: Thank you. 33. 7 MR. KANDRA: It's fortuitous that I got the same number as my age. That's my first lie. 8 Welcome to God's country, Secretary Kempthorne. 9 It's always good to see you, Congressman Walden. 10 11 My name is Steve Kandra, K-A-N-D --12 K-A-N-D-R-A. I'm a farmer, I'm the president of Klamath Water Users Association. I'm an unrepentant 13 14 Klamath project irrigator. 15 100 percent of the water that was applied to my farm is recovered or recycled water. And I 16 assure you that all of the acres that I farm are 17 wildlife habitat. 18 I'd like to visit with you about the 19 Klamath water users from the irrigation communities, 20 the desire and need and support for what we call 21 22 cooperative ecosystem restorations. We believe that habitat restorations that 23 have identified benefits are essential to the 24

resolution of Klamath Basin resource conflicts.

25

Klamath water users in 1993 developed an ecosystem for restoration.

Klamath water users and irrigators were advocates for Senator Mark Hatfield's Oregon Resources Conservation Act, which created the upper Klamath Basin protection area which has a focus on cooperative and voluntary resource restoration. And I was a charter member of that.

The farmers also are strong advocates for creation of the Klamath consistent restoration office, which was to implement some of the components of that act.

I was also a charter member of the Klamath Basin Ecosystem Foundation when we discovered that we had a need for tribe participation and facilitation of restoration.

And in 2001 Klamath water users published a sucker recovery plan. All this to show you that no good deed goes unpunished. In 2001 we all knew what happened with the Klamath project.

I'd like to talk to you just a little bit about the working relationships that we have with federal and state agencies, many whose staffs are here for an update. We'd like to commend the administration folks from the Department of Interior

1	and NOAA. And we also applaud the support for the
2	cooperative memorandum, the secretary,
3	before your time, secretary of interior, governor's
4	work in California got together and dedicated their
5	resources to work together to resolve issues in the
6	Klamath Basin.
7	Now that I've given you some accolades,
8	we also have some needs and concerns.
9	MR. CASE: Just a couple seconds.
10	MR. KANDRA: Just a couple sections?
11	MR. CASE: Seconds.
12	MR. KANDRA: I'm going to get right down
13	to it. Agencies that are a product need to be what
14	I call war product oriented, and not become
15	institutions of process. The institutions of
16	progress come to restorations, and I'd like to make
17	one little
18	MR. CASE: Two seconds.
19	MR. KANDRA: We're working with the
20	tribes and we're working with the fishermen. Need
21	to understand that, but that's a good relationship.
22	Thank you.
23	MR. CASE: Thank you. 34.
24	MR. BYRNE: 34. My name is

Michael Byrne, B-Y-R-N-E. I'm president of the

Public Lands Council which represents 13 western states public land graders. I'm also a committee member for the Klamath Water User Association, I'm president of the Resource Conservation District on the California side of the Klamath Basin.

I would like to bring my broad

perspective to you. But first I'd like to welcome

Secretary Kempthorne, Undersecretary Rey,

Congressman Walden, Mr. Limbaugh, and the other

members of the panel. Thank you for coming to

listen to our concerns.

I worked for a long time in the natural resource area, testified in front of the Senate

Natural Energy and Natural Resources Committee, the House Agriculture and Resources Committee

for many times on these issues.

What we're trying to do is to find multiple agencies to implement multiple environmental laws through the Congress, while at the same time putting on the ground progress at work. This is not an easy task.

In the Klamath Basin we are an agency-rich environment, and we have learned that we have to cooperate with agencies to make progress.

The natural resource conservation service is funded

to come in and put conservation measures on the land, and we're making progress. It is slow but

it's measurable, and we're working hard.

In other states we have the wolf issue, the prairie dogs, the sage grouse. What we found is when the species is listed all forward progress seems to halt. As with the sage grouse, we're making very good progress, we're working hard to keep them off the list through great incentives.

Maybe we should put that in the listing process, that when it's reviewed after the five years and comes off the list you have to have incentive to keep the species healthy or it will go back on the list.

As far as safe harbor, we want to do good things, but we don't want to be penalized. As Steve Kandra says and quoting Steve Thompson, no good deed goes unpunished. If you create habitat and the species come then you're regulated and can't continue. Why is it that there is a fish and wildlife service policy or regulation for safe harbor and there's none for commerce? They're under the same federal law. We think it should be more consistent.

In conclusion, I know that I hit on a lot

of topics, but we need affordable energy and storage in the Klamath Basin to keep -- to make progress, to

fulfill the needs.

We work hard with fishermen, with refuges, with the agencies, with the environmentists. We're sort of in the middle of a very important process and with administration to help them and help the local agency people like Steve Thompson, hope to make progress. Thank you.

MR. CASE: Thank you. Next. 35.

MR. TANAKA: My name is John Tanaka, that's T-A-N-A-K-A. I'm the chair of the John Day Snake Resource Advisory Council, packet charter citizen advisory group, two BLM districts, and four national forests. Which I say Oregon and Washington is the only place that occurs. And I encourage you to do that in other states and regions in the country, as well.

Also president of the Society of Grange
Management, which is mostly the reason I'm here.

The society has long pursued the same goals that
have been outlined for Cooperative Conservation. We
have -- since our founding in 1948 we've encouraged
range landowners and users to collaborate to find
solutions to problems and issues through education,

facilitating, and training.

We believe that there's -- for

Cooperative Conservation to be successful in our

nation that certain knowledge and skills must be

used. First and foremost of those are understanding

the natural resources by all parties involved. And

do believe that employees of federal agencies need

to be trained and educated, experienced, as well in

the discipline for which they're managing.

We believe that tools for range land assessment, monitoring and management need to be developed and fully implemented throughout our nation so we have a common base of understanding.

We believe that knowledge of range sign users, their needs and capability is critical to constructive collaboration. SRM has been involved in a program called Coordinated Resource Management for many years that bring together different parties to look at inclusive decision-making that transcends institutional boundaries.

In conclusion, what I'd like to say is SRM is eager to assist the agencies in whatever way to ensure that Cooperative Conservation is truly implemented and successful in the future. Thank you.

MR. CASE: We're going to take a quick break, but we have two things before we break. One is I'd like to give my sincere apologies again for interrupting you. I was taught it was rude to interrupt people and little did I know it would be my job. And, secondly, Secretary Kempthorne, come up. MR. KEMPTHORNE: I'll be departing Redmond here in just a few minutes. Flight schedules, and I'm on the way to Wyoming. As I indicated, from there I will go to Alaska where we will have a listening session and these will continue. One of the things that we take away from this are impressions. I appreciate greatly the atmosphere by which citizens have come up here and have given us their thoughts. We get impressions. I hear about third and fourth generation Oregonians. I hear about ranchers and farmers. And I hear about those who love the outdoors and fishing opportunities and whether or not they feel that the quality of fishing

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mark Rey was in Columbus, Ohio last night

is the same today as it was five years ago.

at the listening session. We call them listening sessions. They're also learning sessions. And I know for all of those that I have a great pleasure working with them. I've been on the job now for about three months.

When the President asked me to sit down with him, at the end of conversation he said, "I'd like you to take this job, yes or no?" He said, "I want you to reach out. I want you to help build bridges and to help us in the area of the environment to diminish the polarization which can so easily take place."

This has been an atmosphere where you have allowed us to continue an impression. Can you imagine when you add it all together, when you just do some of the numbers, and I would imagine there's going to be a few thousand people that at the end of this whole process that we're going to be able to have heard their comments or seen their comments, and from all regions of the country. Some regions that don't know what it is to be in this part of the country.

Going to Wyoming, we will see ranchers and farmers, but will also see production fields.

We'll talk about that aspect of it. Because as

Michael said, energy is something that's important.

_

But you begin to collect it all together.

And it allows us with these professionals, Steve sitting in the front row there. But these are the types of professionals, and you acknowledge them, you reference them, Bob, Mark. These are folks that in many, many instances come from the different walks of life which you have identified.

Now, we could also make a decision that this is just not worth the effort, not come. Now that we've been asked to hold down jobs in Washington, D.C. you get real busy in Washington, D.C. And you can stay in Washington, D.C. and you can ask certain people, maybe two or three that might be among the few hundred that are here today, if they would travel to D.C. and if they would sit and make comments.

But I think it is been proven today and it's well worth of the effort. Is it perfect?

Course not. But it's a good process.

I remember when I was mayor of Boise.

One of the public hearings, it was a room this size filled with people. And at the beginning of it there were signs that said, "We love our mayor." At the end of the night when the decisions and the

final votes didn't go the way that many of those carrying the signs felt it should have gone, these signs were torn up and tossed on the floor. That was a pretty good reality check.

While they didn't care for the decision,

I will tell you that a number of them came up and
said, We have to thank you for the process. We had
our opportunity to say. So that's all we can do.

In some 27 months I'll be doing something different. Until then I intend to make a difference on behalf of those which are serving, which is you, in all 50 states and territories. And I just don't think there's a more worthwhile effort than to once in awhile drop in and just say, Would you make some comments, would you give us some idea. It's a snapshot, but some idea of what's going in your region of the country.

Because our decisions in Washington, D.C. will have ramifications in all regions of the country. And there are trade-offs. So be able to come like this to a place like Redmond, Oregon, and to hear a diverse group of comments from some very, very busy people, talented, dedicated people that have a passion for what you do helps us.

And one thing it does is energize us,

that we truly are in this together. That I think 1 because of today's effort the final outcome, and I 2 don't know what the final outcome will be, will be a 3 4 little stronger, a little better because you had the 5 opportunity to give us your best thoughts. A lot of 6 notes. Colleen has a lot of stenography material. 7 So I wanted to thank you before I left so you didn't come back number 37, number 38 and say, 8 where is the quy. We do have, I think it's five 9 flights today. Unfortunately, I've got to grab the 10 11 next one. 12 So thank you all for what you're doing. Let me thank my colleagues for what they're doing 13 today and your efforts here, as well. 14 15 MR. CASE: We are going to continue. We'll take 15 minutes. 16 MR. KEMPTHORNE: Yes. You'll take a 17 15-minute break and then we will continue. But 18

MR. KEMPTHORNE: Yes. You'll take a 15-minute break and then we will continue. But Congressman, again, I want to thank you for all that you're doing and it's a pleasure to work with you, it's a pleasure to be in this district and see the good folks that you work on their behalf.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WALDEN: Mr. Secretary, on behalf of not only the residents of the great second congressional district but the state of Oregon and

those other surrounding states that have joined us 1 today, thank you for taking the time to be here. We 2 recognize your busy schedule. And to have the 3 4 Secretary of Interior for a couple of hours to 5 listen to us is really something we all appreciate. 6 Thank you. 7 And since my remarks are going to be more than two minutes I'll send them to you in writing. 8 We'll work together to improve more and more the 9 health and water resource issues. We've got a lot 10 11 of work to do. And I look forward to continue 12 working with you and your colleagues here on the dais. 13 I think we're going to break now for 15 14 15 minutes, and then we'll remain to listen further to your comments and suggestions. Thank you very much. 16 (Recess was taken 11:05 to 11:16.) 17 18 19 MR. CASE: Okay. We're going to start 20 with two people that are going to come up for 21 22 comments that were not on our original list. First is Captain Brigham from the board of trustees from 23 the Umatilla Indian Reservation. 24

MS. BRIGHAM: Thank you. First of all, I

25

just want to talk to you about the Umatilla tribe. When we were at the Cooperative

Conservation conference we did make a presentation

And what we've down in this approach is, one, we've reached out to the comanagers and people affected by -- in the basin area.

on the Walla Walla Basin.

Two, we've stayed on our goal. And with both rivers, Walla Walla and Umatilla River, we had no fish, we had no water. And for the Walla Walla it was a hundred years, for the Umatilla it was 75 years.

We told them our goal was to get salmon back into these systems. They were shocked, but we were up front.

We also recognize that this goal is going to take time. It's not going to happen overnight.

It's going to be gradual and only be done with their support.

We were going to seek agreement on many of the issues that we could have on the issues. And we also know that they're not always going to agree. That's an important part of it. I mean, sometimes we always -- where can we agree. We all know we disagree, but what can we agree upon.

We can develop a plan, a plan that was linked to our goals, to the tribal goals, to the comanagers' goals, to the farmers' goals. So this plan was a plan we could all support.

Then we worked to get the continued funding. And continued funding is very important.

It's making progress, but we all have plans that are up on the shelves that are just sitting there and not being implemented. So we have to have a plan being implemented.

So that's part of the response to some of your questions we have. And also think it's very important to be responsible, accountable, honest, and you share your successes, not hide them. We don't see enough of the successes.

The Cooperative Conservation conference did bring a number of successes to the nation, across the nation, but we need to see more locally, as well. Thank you.

MR. CASE: Thank you. And second,
Alan Foreman, the chairman of the Upper Klamath
tribe.

MR. FOREMAN: Gentlemen, I appreciate the fact that you're taking this time to be able to be out here today. My name is Alan Foreman, I'm the

chairman of the Klamath tribes.

the dams went in.

And Congressman Walden, I appreciate your efforts. And Secretary Thompson over here. Anyway, Mark Rey. I met most of you folks at one time or another.

I think one thing that all of you should and do recognize is the fact that the United States government has a special fiduciary responsibility to tribes. And based on that, we in the Klamath and other tribes have seen their fair share of natural resources decline as much as 70 and 80 and 90 percent in a lot of the cases.

In our case, 1918 on the Klamath River, we salmon in our neighborhood. And that
was abundant fishery for us. We have lost that when

And we've also had a couple of other species on the endangered list. Our deer herds are down 90 percent from what they were. All of our resources are down.

The point I want to leave you with here today, the point I want to make very strongly, we have an opportunity cooperatively to work together, to turn that trend around. And that opportunity is within -- on the Klamath River.

2
 3
 4

We are working with the agricultural community very closely, we're working with all the stakeholders down river. There's three other tribes that we're working with. And we're also working with the state and Oregon, California, and the very good leadership of the federal team that's involved here.

There is a tremendous opportunity to have those dams removed. And I just want to make sure that everyone understands that if those dams are removed it can begin to reverse the trend of declining resources. There will be access to 350 miles of habitat they never had before.

And it will take a long time to undo what has been done over the past 80 years, but there's an opportunity to do it. And my message is, the federal team needs to hold together and hold this coalition together because we have every important stakeholder up and down the river from the ocean to the tribal waters that is working together in unity. And you don't see that anywhere else. And I really want to be able to keep this going and to accomplish that. So thank you for your time.

MR. CASE: Thank you. Let's see. 36

MR. HAMPTON: 38, but I'll go.

1 MR. CASE: 35, 36?

MR. HAMPTON: I'll do like they do at the Oscars, I'll be a place filler. My name's

David Hampton. I'm a third generation family

business owner in the wood products industry. My

father probably has spoken to several of you before.

I'd like to thank you for the opportunity today to speak on this important issue.

In the early 1980s our company, along with other companies in the area, bid on the timber sale programs in the Siuslaw National Forest on a regular basis. At that time the outputs were close to 375 million more feet per year. This year's program is said to be 26 million board feet on the Siuslaw.

The sales that are put forth now are all so complicated and full of restrictions that it is extremely difficult to even operate.

According to the USDA, Forest Service, and Pacific Northwest Research Station, the mortality on federal forests west of the Cascades is over 1 billion board feet per year.

Due to the ESA restrictions and constraints with the NEPA restrictions these once

healthy forests cannot even recover their mortality from the timber sale program. Thinnings do not create openings needed for early successional habitat that big game animals require in order to be healthy.

In 1993 my father attended the Oregon

Forest Summit in which he gave an impassioned plea
to repair a crippled federal timber sale program.

The program that is now in place has resulted in no
closures and lost revenues in millions to the area.

Our company has had to retool and downsize to accommodate a smaller and smaller log that's as far as away as 200 miles.

In the time of state and federal budget shortfalls these programs just don't make sense.

The President has made a personal commitment to the implementing the Northwest Forest Plan.

In August 2002 he stated, and I quote,
The Northwest Forest Plan calls for harvesting of
about 1 billion board feet per year. It will
strengthen our communities, it will help rural
America, and it will help our home builders. It
makes sense. It was a promise made to the people of
the Northwest. It's a promise I intend to work with
federal governments to keep, end quotes.

The actual timber sale accomplishments 1 has been less than 40 percent of that total volume 2 promised. With 90 percent of all endangered species 3 4 on private lands there must be an opportunity for 5 cooperative incentive-based solutions to recover 6 species. We must do a better job of recovering 7 species without endangering jobs and livelihoods of 8 American families. Reform of NEPA and ESA is needed 9 immediately to prevent further stress on Oregon's 10 11 rural habitats. Thank you. 12 MR. CASE: Thank you. 37. 13 MR. KLUPENGER: Good morning, Undersecretary Ray, Congressman Walden, members of 14 15 the distinguished panel. My name is Kevin Klupenger, that's K-L-U-P-E-N-G-E-R. 16 I represent the Evergreen Nursery located in 17 18 Oregon's Willamette valley. We grow in-ground and trees and shrubs. I also have the 19 pleasure to serve as a chair of the Government 20 Relations Committee, a 1,500 member Oregon 21 22 association nursery that represents Oregon's nursery 23 and greenhouse industry. State's largest

agricultural sector with annual sales in excess of

24

25

over 1 billion.

I wish to thank you for coming to Oregon.

This part of the country has seen natural resources compromised by junk science and political agendas.

Frankly, there's always been a link between Endangered Species Act, conservation, the natural resource industry. Cannot turn back the clock, but we all must work together in creating common sense policy.

Specifically, I support Congressman

Pombo's and Congressman Walden's HR3824, the

Threatened and Endangered Species Recovery Act. It

embraces the science-based peer review, it places a

priority for recovery rates for listed species, and

codifies fairness and effectiveness for areas that

are impacted. Agriculture bases its operations on

generations of plant. The ESA and conservation

policy should do the same.

We all should be for greater
accountability and see a strong collaboration
between the states and federal government.
A transparent and fair process, one based on
conservation, not preservation, should be the basis
of our national environmental policies.

Thank you for listening to my testimony.

I provided you copies of more extensive written

testimonies on behalf of the Oregon Association. 1 Appreciate it. 2 3 MR. CASE: Thank you. 38. 4 MR. SHIVELY: Thank you Congressman 5 Walden, Undersecretary Rey. My name is 6 Paul Shively, I'm a Northwest representative for the 7 Sierra Club. And I would like to thank you for holding these sessions. 8 Before I make my quick simple point I 9 also wanted to thank you, Congressman Walden, for 10 11 another sort of collaboration that we don't see enough of in Washington, D.C. these days. And that 12 is your work with Congressman Blumenaeur and the 13 Mount Hood wilderness support bill. 14 15 You should be applauded for crossing the line. And Congressman Blumenauer, as well, in 16 working together for something all Oregonians want. 17 Whether it's a project on the 18 heart of the mountain and national antelope refuge 19 or weed pulling and cleaning up of camp sites down 20 the Salmon River, the Sierra Club has been proud 21 22 working with many fine people in the -- our agencies that protect our land and water and air quality over 23

We work with military bases on ESA

24

25

the years.

issues, so on and so forth. And we want to continue to do that. We think the collaboration is a very big part of getting to a good and healthy conservation in this country.

But Cooperative Conservation doesn't mean replacing the existing laws and regulations that are in effect right now and that work. And, in fact, we feel that the ESA is one of the great success stories that we have seen in America.

And so while you move forward with these sessions we hope that you look at this as not a replacement for what happens but as a way to supplement and compliment the great laws that already exist.

Now, those laws and regulations don't do any good unless they're funded. So we hope that there is also some funding so the enforcement of the laws and regulations actually can take place, so that cooperative programs can happen in the manner that they need to happen, to actually get to where we want to be, and that is to work with each other on conservation issues.

Finally, you have a great opportunity to do some cooperative work with tribes, with the state, and with the other conservation groups in the

area, and get to a biological opinion on the

Columbia salmon that doesn't go back to the courts

and be deemed illegal.

So let's all work together, let's save the fish, let's do what's right for Oregonians and with the nation.

MR. CASE: Thank you. 39.

MR. MALUSKI: Hi there. My name is

Ivan Maluski, M-A-L-U-S-K-I. I also work

with the Sierra Club here in Oregon. Just on a

note, I own a small farm out near Molalla.

And came out here today in order to testify. It's unfortunate Senator Kempthorne couldn't be here. Congressman Walden and the rest of the panel, thanks for taking the time today.

I do want to make a point and observation earlier today that there was a lot of time given to the Klamath Water Uses Associations to testify, certainly independent public listening session. I think on this issue it would have been nice the Klamath tribes, for example, had a chance to speak at that time, as well, and simply allow the Water Users Association to present like the rest of the public. We all came out today.

Takes a lot of time to get involved in this stuff.

I basically want to make a few points in
this limited time. We do think that you need to
protect and strengthen the Endangered Species Act.

Funding is a huge issue.

Of particular concern, there's a lot of species out there that have been identified that are warranted for listing and protection under the Endangered Species Act, but they're precluded due to lack of funding.

Without funding, we get some of the species listed and beginning to actually develop recovery plans to move the Congress's intent to recover endangered species, persuading, landowners to make it sensible, developing critical habitat and whatnot.

On the issue of fires on federal lands, Congressman Walden, particular I'd like to make this point to you and Undersecretary Rey, there's a lot of work that could be done out there, sure. We really need to focus the priority on where it makes the most sense.

I think getting distracted and what appears to be sometimes politically motivated post-fire wilderness areas or late success reserves, or fuel reducing projects that

are way out there, don't make a lot of sense when 1 the real needs are really close to the community, 2 such as Sisters or Prineville, or name your 3 4 community out there. 5 There's a lot of acres out there that are close to communities and get a lot of support for 6 7 working on before you do the controversial stuff. I want to call attention to plans in the 8 Department of Interior to speed up old growth 9 logging to the Western Oregon Planning Division and 10 11 BLM. We're very concerned about that. I think that by increasing old growth logging in Western Cascades 12 would put a lot of additional pressure on private 13 landowners who have to bear the burden of endangered 14 15 species recovery. incentives 16 SO the current don't pay participate in Cooperative Conservation. Cooperation can provide 17 end results. We should not do away with existing 18 framework of laws such as National Environmental 19 Policy Act, ESA. Thanks for your time today. 20 MR. CASE: Thank you. 21 22 MS. MARX: Congressman Walden,

Undersecretary Rey, and to each member of the panel,

thank you for coming to Oregon. My name is

Carol Marx, M-A-R-X. I'm a grass seed farmer

23

24

25

from Riverdale, Oregon, and Oregon Agriculture.

As a life-long Oregonian and farmer for the last 34 years I can say nothing has impacted my state, community, and our family farm life as the Endangered Species Act.

The perception that ESA is functioning just fine is carried by those who don't live under it and do not see the devastating effects in complying with this overreaching law.

Thank you for recognizing that after 30-plus years there's room for improvement in the implementation of the ESA and to accomplish conservation. The time is now to find a better way.

All decisions are only as good as the information you base them on. Data that is the basis for ESA listing positions, critical habitat designations, and regulations must be the result of rigorous signs and full consideration must be given to the expertise of those on the land, in the communities and the states impacted by these decisions.

The men and women who live on the land make their living in partnership with nature are the best stewards of this nation's resources.

25 Generations of farmers, ranchers, and foresters have

continually looked into the future and tended the land of hard work and thoughtful planning.

As you proceed with implementation of Cooperative Conservation initiatives please remember long-term, successful conservation is dependent on the vitality and success of natural resource industries. Thank you for your time.

MR. CASE: Thank you. 41

MR. MAST: James Mast standing in for Commissioner Kittleman, Douglas County Commissioner. I thank you for coming to Oregon. I'm a rancher, a logger, I have a tree farm, founding member of the Elk Creek Watershed Council, and a member of the board of directors for the Oregon Family Farm Association.

I was encouraged to see that Band Aid on the Secretary's thumb. You know, the rumor out here in Oregon is that there's, especially with the bureaucrats, they don't have any blood in their veins over there. And same thing you hear over there, there's no trees in Oregon. I'm sure when you came here you've seen we have lots of trees.

The regulatory process, you know, I took some notes here from previous speakers. But it really impacted our area. We used to have a lot of

logging in our area and we now have zero. And that's directly from the Northwest Forest Plan.

Same with our private property rights, they've been impacted very much by regulatory means. And one of the things Douglas County is looking for -- trying to get is -- we're trying to build a dam in North County. It's in the headwater at the Elk Creek area.

We're looking for the Bureau of
Reclamation to help us out. We have been visiting
with them. Commissioner Kittleman's been back there
several times. Talked to John Kietz before he
retired and now the acting commissioner.

But we're looking for impoundment there for not only for the ranchers, we're looking for regulated flows with improvement of our fish habitat. We have a real flat ground. Some of the streams stretches go half mile to a mile long with no drops, just sits there and it heats up, very stagnant water. And we felt we could get some improvement through more movement of the water.

We feel we can get improved water quality on Elk Creek. And will help with the wildlife, flood control, and fire control.

We -- in summing up, I did give a copy of

this whole thing to the Secretary so he'll be able 1 to see that. But I would hope that you would help 2 3 us. 4 Side note on the safety net funds. 5 was brought up to the county government -- for the 6 county governments. We need to help to put the 7 court boots back on our young men in Douglas County so we have the jobs they need. Thank you. 8 9 MR. CASE: Thank you. UNDERSECRETARY REY: I'm afraid the next 10 11 flight is now leaving. I'm driving to the airport. 12 I'm not going to take more of your time summarizing, just say as the Secretary said, this has been very 13 helpful to us, and we've got a lot of ideas that can now 14 15 be processed through our various programs. As noted, I was in Ohio last night 16 and there are different kinds of concerns in Ohio 17 18 which would surprise you. But there are 19 similarities, too. Similarities that help us temper some of our programs and make them more 20 responsive to folks. 21 22 Thank you for all of your time. Now I've 23 got to fly the rest of the afternoon and evening to 24 be back at my office at 8:30 tomorrow morning.

MR. CASE: Thank you.

43?

25

MS. KOVASH: 43.

MR. CASE: Okay. Come on up.

MS. KOVASH: I'm Arlene Kovash, K-O,
V as in Victor, A-S-H. And I thank all of you for
coming to listen to us. I think it's really
important to us that you hear us firsthand.

I am chairman of -- we have a farm in the valley, and I am chairman of the American Agriwomen ESA Committee. American Agriwomen is a coalition of all the farm women organizations throughout the nation. And I represent around 30,000 women.

I'm also the American Agriwomen newsletter editor and past president of Oregon Women for Agriculture.

We do have a policy on the ESA, and I am going to send that in as written comments. I do want to point out one item, is that I think we all need to recognize -- acknowledge extinction is a natural process of evolution and that species come and species go. And to try to save every last one of them is quite impossible. So we really need to focus on the important ones to us, not subspecies, et cetera.

After listening to all the comments I wrote specific suggestions on the questions that

were given to us, the discussion questions. And I'm going to say, number 1, the federal government can enhance a wildlife habitat by making regulations sensible, consistent, understood by all of us. And particularly, include working for the success of the resource provider, farmers and loggers.

Because it has been noted 90 percent of the endangered species are on our property. What does that tell you? We are doing something right. What are you doing? So that, I think, is an important point. So you need to make this so we can do it.

The federal government then hands cooperation to the communities by including us, those who have economic consequences on decision-making committees and what have you.

Often we are excluded because people will say they don't have -- we have a conflict of interest. Wait a minute, this is of interest.

And then one of the things that I think is really important to note from this is that I have noticed that one of our biggest problems are lawsuits. And I was just wondering if it was possible for the ESA to have something like a grand jury of the ESA that lawsuits can go through them

and then decided so that we aren't tied up with lawsuits.

And that we do need to continue on with our farming and logging operations while these suits are being -- are being settled because we are ruined when we can't, as in the Klamath Falls.

MR. CASE: Thank you. 44? 45?

MR. BROWN: Morning Congressman Walden, panel. I'm Marvin Brown. I'm a state forester here in Oregon. Actually, speaking today as the president of the Society of American Foresters nationally. It's an organization of 15,000 folks who practice forestry across the U.S.

The points that -- we provided a letter that answers the five questions that were asked by the panel. But I wanted to emphasize what I think is themed throughout the letter.

That is, Secretary Kempthorne talked two times about conservation goals, and that's what this process is all about is finding better ways to achieve conservation goals.

One of the holes that we see in the whole process is it's not a goal in the United States that deals with sustainable forests.

These goals that very clearly identify

what's happened in terms of endangered species,
clean air, clean water. An energy bill just passed
that has some ramifications on forests. There's a
farm bill on the table that certainly has
ramifications for the forests.

But there isn't a unified, cohesive national interest stated that we want sustainable forests in the United States. And it represents kind of a fundamental hole in the whole discussion.

We have a very specific concept of what we think a sustainable forest resource would be in the U.S. that would deliver the full range of economic and environmental and social values we all expect in a forest.

We see that those values are not always delivered across ownerships and across landscapes.

And we see that has some pretty concerning effects across the country in terms of development pressures on the forests, disinvestment federal government has shown, and managing public and private forests, forest health issues, the burning that the people referenced several times, and the condition of the federal lands and state, tax loss.

The thing that forests needs is a fundamental commitment from the federal government.

Sustainability is important. Thanks.

MR. CASE: Thank you. 46? 47?

MR. SEDORIS: Congressman Walden, thank you very much for bringing these distinguished panelists to Central Oregon. Secretary Kempthorne suggested that he was asking for a snapshot of our lives.

My daughter is a sixth generation Redmond girl. And with all due respect to the Native

Americans in the audience, I'm sure there aren't very many Oregon families that go back, at least in this room, as far as we do.

A snapshot of our lives. We live in an area called the bad lands, wilderness area. It would be about the size of this room with me being the 40 acres that we own in the middle of it.

Recently it was closed to all use except walking through it. We make our living, 100 percent of our living giving sled dog rides. And my daughter is a sled dog racer, one of the best in the world. And by closing our access to the roads, the traditional roads that Rachel has trained on since she was a little girl, it effectively takes away our -- the way we make our living, the way we train our dogs.

1 And I hope that you will take -- and we own this 40 acres right in the middle of it. It's 2 not -- it shouldn't be considered as a wilderness 3 4 There's a major canal running through it, 5 there's been some roads. And, anyway, that's 6 my suggestion that you look into that, Congressman Walden. 7 MR. CASE: Thank you. 8 9 MS. SEDORIS: Now, the intent behind turning it into a wilderness area was right. 10 11 idea is to protect it, and absolutely it should be protected. It shouldn't have anything -- any more 12 roads built or anything. 13 But to shut down the existing roads, I 14 mean, in the 15 years that we've lived out there and 15 the thousands of miles that we've trained we have 16 seen two walkers, two. We've seen thousands of 17 hunters and recreational four-wheelers. And out of 18 those thousands we've seen maybe a dozen actually 19 doing anything wrong. 20 And the rest of us shouldn't pay for 21 22 those peoples' sins. Thank you. 23 MR. CASE: Thank you. 47.

MR. NOONAN:

I represent the Oregon Meat Growers League. I'm the

My name is Mike Noonan, and

24

25

vice president currently. And thank you guys for coming to Oregon. Congressman Walden, and all of you on the panel.

I'm going to try to get to the point today in the spirit of the Cooperative Conservation effort. There's a couple things the Oregon Meat Growers League would like to mention.

Number 1, conservation security program that has been implemented throughout different watersheds is a very successful program to help farmers and rewarding for the conservation efforts that they have done, along with encourage them to with cost share to increase the conservation on the farm.

So that's one thing that we'd like to see fully funded. And over time it takes a lot of money to fund something like that. But we see it as a start and in the right direction to help a conservation on the farm.

Another issue that you're working on in the Klamath Basin, and part of the reason why I got involved in this, in fact, most the reason was in 2001 I was walking around the block, April 7th, just got about halfway around. I was too nervous to go to that water allocation.

I -- 50 percent, reasonable man thought 1 we'd have that allocation, right? Well, there's 2 nothing reasonable about it. We walked out with 3 4 zero. And instead of feeling sorry at that time, I 5 was kind of raised the way where just get on a horse 6 and you go. And that's where we're headed. 7 I'm proud to represent the Oregon Meat Growers in that. But also in the spirit of 8 9 Cooperative Conservation I've been working with Ron Cole, National Fish and Wildlife, Bureau of 10 11 Reclamation. 12 We have a neat thing going on in Klamath that's called blocking wetlands. And it's a win/win 13 for both wildlife and farming. And simply put, 14 15 works as a farming tool. It also -- it works as a farming tool I can use on a farm, and it also works 16 as extending wetlands out into private landowners. 17 18 And it really works through cooperation. And I 19 thank you for your time. 20 MR. CASE: Thank you. 48. MR. NAROLSKI: 21 47. 22 MR. CASE: Okay. 23 MR. NAROLSKI: Congressman Walden,

distinguished panel members, secretary, my name is

Steve Narolski, N-A-R-O-L-S-K-I. I'm a forester

24

25

working Interfore Pacific. Interfore Pacific owns
three mills in the Pacific Northwest, one in Fort
Daniels, Washington, one in Molalla, Oregon, and one
about an hour south of here in Gilcrest.

Our Gilcrest mill operation is primarily supplied by federal or publicly-owned federal timber from the surrounding six national forests. In that regard we look forward to cooperating with all the stakeholders in opening up more of this forest land for timber harvesting, applying holistic forest management, and thereby restoring natural processes onto this forest.

The two messages on behalf of the forestry industry I wanted to give the panel are, we'd love to see NEPA and the associated ESA streamline a more time sensitive -- it shouldn't take years to come up with a forest management plan or improved harvest to salvage fire-burned timber. The trees just deteriorate.

Having personally worked in Oregon, Idaho underneath Secretary Kempthorne, in Washington,

Montana, and California, I can testify professionally after 30 years that our forests revolve around foresting. Because of policies started in 1945, our forests

have oscillated well outside of their norms where we 1 started seeing these catastrophic events 2 such as the Black Crater fire and others in the 3 4 surrounding area. 5 While fires are national processes, the 6 intensities of the fires have increased dramatically 7 over the last decades. So the second message we want to see is 8 9 that the Secretary pass onto his agencies and be responsible for is to please work with the other 10 11 stakeholders, please do your job. I realize logging, while it's not a four 12 letter word, it's not very popular, people hate 13 to see stumps. But we can utilize logging right now 14 to reestablish natural processes, utilize 15 foresting and get cooler fires going on, and 16 thereby protect the forests. Thank you for your 17 18 time. 19 MR. CASE: Thank you. 48? MR. MUKUMOTO: Congressman Walden --20 MR. CASE: 48? 21 22 MR. MUKUMOTO: We're together. 23 MR. CASE: All right. I thought you were 24 going to smack each other there for a minute.

MR. MUKUMOTO: Congressman Walden,

25

distinguished panel, thank you for listening. My
name is Cal Mukumoto, that's M-U-K-U-M-O-T-O, and
I'm project manager of the Warm Springs Forest
Projects.

And joining me is Greg McClarren, M -capitol M-C, capitol C, L-A-R-R-E-N, who is
president of the board of the Friends of the
Metolius, which is a nonprofit organization
dedicated to the protection of the spiritual and
environmental values of the Metolius Basin.

Now, we are speaking on behalf of Central Oregon Partnerships for Wildfire and Risk Reduction, which we call OPWRR for short. Which is coordinated by the Central Oregon Intergovernmental Council. I will talk to OPWRR, Gregory will quickly talk about lessons learned.

OPWRR was highlighted and -- was a highlighted project at last year's White House conference on Cooperative Conservation. The goals of OPWRR project are to, one, reduce the risk of the severe wild fire communities.

Two, restore fire indemnity policies; and, three, create sustainable community jobs and income. The method by which these goals would be achieved was development, expansion, market,

commercial utilization, a small diameter of forest fuels.

The Central Oregon Intergovernmental

Council assembled the OPWRR advisory committee from representatives of forest products industry, tribes, environmental groups, emergency management agencies, public land managers, and elected officials.

OPWRR is selected to development of sustainable levelized supply, small diameter timbers and actions most needed to accomplish these project objectives.

The Coordinated Resource Offering

Protocol, or as we call it CROP, is a project that
has undertaken to provide levelized supply. We
asked for and received Governor Kulongoski's
estimation of CROP as Oregon's solution project.

The stakeholder team met several times in 2004, and in January 2005 released a declaration of cooperation each party signed statements of support outlining how they would ensure that crop became implemented.

The crop implementation plan and development is three falling items. One, a database of anticipated supply offerings based on actual projects; two, a levelization system whereby

multiple U.S. forestries and BLM administrative 1 units work with any industrial landscape; three, a 2 monetary program focused on ensuring that protocols 3 4 is working. 5 We have created database which will be on 6 the web next month. We are working with forest 7 products companies and energy companies to help in use of database. And we found and we're sharing our 8 lessons learned. 9 Our primary public agency partners, 10 11 national, local forest system, BLM units have built an impressive NEPA approved restoration 12 project. And if additional funding were identified 13 they would be able to step up. 14 15 you. MR. CASE: He cut into your time a little 16

MR. CASE: He cut into your time a little bit.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MCCLARREN: That's fine. We're partners, that's why two of us are up here. Lessons learned. For Cooperative Conservation to work we need to invest in capacity of public agencies, communities, and stakeholder groups to collaborate.

Quite frankly, here in Oregon and throughout the west where so much of the land is federally managed, it falls to the federal

government to provide resources. Translate that as
money and staff with motivation and commitment to
do.

Four things we've learned. There's many.

But in summary, one, opportunities are provided for early input and collaboration from local stakeholders. Stakeholders and communities.

Two, communities and stakeholder groups have the resources and tools to do the work. For example, OPWRR got its start with a program that no longer exists. That's a series of economic action program grants which are no longer available. Came out of, in part, the Northwest Forest Plan, Northwest Summit of the early '90s.

Three, local public land agencies have the resources and motivation to collaborate.

Collaboration is not always the standard modus operandi of offices and staff. And sometimes the extra effort and time is taken and dollars needed is a penalty to those line officers who engage in such effort.

Oftentimes, the short-term, lowest unit cost measurement standard which is used by agencies is not the best in the long-term benefit of the land.

Secondly, in that part, we cannot 1 account -- use an accounting system that's geared to 2 saw timber or other types of forest products when 3 we're dealing with 8, 10, and 12 inch diameter 4 5 material. It's -- frankly, it's stupid. 6 value's not there. 7 Fourth lesson learned, community stakeholder groups and local public agencies must be 8 empowered to monitor project levels. 9 other words, how well did we do, what do we need to 10 11 learn, how do we adapt? And oftentimes the cost of 12 that monitoring is not part of the legislative nor agency mandate. 13 I'd also comment on stable funding. 14 15 I can't emphasize it any more strongly. It also needs to be long term, longer than one year, longer 16 than three years, longer than five years. 17 It's what we have seen evolve in our 18 19 forests in the interior west in the last 50 years. Are not going to be fixed in five or ten years. 20 21 MR. CASE: Thank you. 22 MR. MCCLARREN: Thank you. MR. CASE: Number 50? 51? 23 52? 24 MS. MOORE: Hello. I'm Helen Moore, and

I'm the executive director of Water For Life. My

25

last name is M-O-O-R-E. Water For Life is a nonprofit organization whose mission is the protection of agricultural water rights in the context of environmental storage shed.

We respectfully submit the following comments today on behalf of our members:

Conservation, which became a policy because of environmentalism was once, as the word suggests, a conservative issue like civil rights, it had its problems in the Republican party.

Yet there can be no doubt during the last decade these matters have been preempted. Water For Life recognizes that

renewable resources such as wildlife, fish,
wetlands, wilderness, forest, range, air, water, and
soil are dynamic, resilient, and responding positively
to wise management.

We support site- and situation-specific practices which unleash the influence free market and protect or expand private property rights and reduce the inefficient and counterproductive effects of government regulation.

The Endangered Species Act has historically had a devastating affect on agriculture in Oregon. In 2001, under the guise of the ESA,

fish and wildlife and marine fishery issued a scientific opinion claiming that if farmers got irrigation water it would be at the peril of suckers in nearby lakes.

A federal judge then ordered irrigation water turned off. In ESA reports that followed the panel of scientists said they found no evidence of the lower water levels in the lake would have hurt the suckers. The fish and wildlife action caused the Klamath Basin 2,000 jobs and \$134 million.

Water For Life is requesting that the Department of the Interior develop a cover plan that allows for the coexistence of endangered species and agricultural development.

Plans need to have achievable, ecological goals that simultaneously maintain economic stability, recovery plans and mitigation possibilities for private landowners allowing for a range of participation that creates partnerships with stakeholders and regulatory agencies.

There's a need to remove bureaucratic barriers to voluntary participation in conservation programs and to recognize that every effort made by private landowners.

It is critical that only peer-reviewed

science and not political science be part

of the plans. The time is now to streamline

regulations to ease downlisting from endangered to

threatened or removal of species from a threatened

listing.

The act must create specific criteria for change in status. For example, the gray wolf population in the west has increased significantly, although not significantly in Oregon. Criteria should allow for the removal of the gray wolf from the list based on the overall population in the region.

Water For Life believes that a sensible and equitable ESA program is essential. We need fair effective policies to address this complex issue. Thank you.

MR. CASE: Thank you. 53? 54?

MS. MORRISON: Welcome to Oregon and thank you for being here. My name is Ann Morrison, I'm county commissioner in Lane County, Oregon, and I'm also legislative coordinator for Federated Women in Timber.

My purpose in speaking today is to endorse and encourage the administration to initiate and complete adjustments to the regulatory structure

of the ESA and the NEPA post haste.

Another month, a year, or a term of

Congress is not going to significantly change the

data or the science. It improves the policy choices

we confront today. My sense of urgency is derived

from direct and frequent encounters with the

economic, social, and human cost of status quo.

The diversification of job-created commerce that has blessed many of the cities of the west coast has failed to pay a visit to our rural timber communities. The citizens and families of those communities have waited long enough for ESA and NEPA to produce and balance outcomes.

My science is based primarily on observation. Observing the economic decline and slow erosion of family vitality outside of the I-5 corridor. The timber communities in my county suffer from higher unemployment rates than most parts of the country.

Income does not keep pace with the cost of living. The cycle of poverty produces patterns of substance abuse, domestic violence, and child abuse. Not a pretty picture and not one that is getting better.

And yet the science of NEPA and ESA has

failed to incorporate those outcomes and observations into its analysis and policy -- proposals for policy.

Either the scientists involved are lying to the human displacement or the policymakers involved are insensitive. Certainly the results are not policy making at its best.

Finally, my conviction is derived from principle. The principle that nothing of value is free of cost. But in the case of NEPA and ESA the value flows primarily to political interests while the costs flow directly and almost exclusively to local families, communities, and governments.

Those local interests have paid the costs in spades over the last 15 to 20 years, while the activists and advocates that have a marvelous time debating the definitions of old growth, accumulative affects, data quality, and Cooperative Conservation in front of the news media and the various panels and hearings on Capitol Hill.

I suggest the side show needs to end.

The real work needs to begin, balancing the tangible, visible, and direct interests of our people with that of the worthy but nebulous environmental interests. Thank you very much for

being here.

MR. CASE: Thank you. 55?

SPEAKER: Hello. I'm

eastern forest organizer for the CR. Thanks for being here. Guess what I'm hearing is from a society in denial. There's been a lot of kind of a whole era of subjugation rather than sustainability rather than cooperation.

And we have an Endangered Species Act and numerous other laws that came out of the consequences of that subrogation. We have many, many species that are declining; lynx, wolverine, Peregrine falcon, hundreds of migratory birds, bull trout, salmon, steelhead.

There are so many.

Their voices need to be heard here. They need to be heard by the society. Those populations are still in decline. The Endangered Species Act has been around for a while. Needs to be strengthened, not weakened.

There needs to be more funding to start looking at how we start recovering populations.

And, yes, we do need to work cooperatively. But it has to start with the change of attitude by people who still believe they can subjugate nature.

There's a lot that can be done. Over the last couple decades have had the, call it pleasure, the work that I do involves hiking. Thousands of acres of really devastated lands from logging. My home was burnt down by Boise Cascade logging causing fire, forest fire, timber industry lands, and Umatilla national forest lands.

I've seen over and over again a repetition of how much we'll grab from nature. And ignorance of what we're doing to the world around us. Are we going to be like so many other once-forested ecosystems?

There's so many across this world. We need to start looking how do we strengthen the laws we have. From that how do we start working cooperatively together to work towards the same goals.

In the '30s there was a civilian conservation core program that put people to work.

We could do the same thing for the environment using strengthened laws, removing roads except for access, starting to repair the damage from logging, cattle. There are numerous, thousands of clear cuts that have not been regenerated, all of them in the mountains region and the Cascades. Those need to be

regenerated.

There's a lot of work that could be done, if people would begin to start looking how -- what do we want to give to our children? What are we going to give to the generations that come? Where are the voices for the wildlife? Where is our conscience?

So I don't want to hear any more about -I'm one of the people who will litigate, but I will
be glad to work in cooperation when people want to
start honoring the land they're on and the
generations yet to come.

MR. CASE: Thank you. 56

MR. JOHNSON: Actually, 54, but that's okay. I'm Steve Johnson, J-O-H-N-S-O-N. I'm the manager of Central Oregon Irrigation District, which provides irrigation delivery to 44,000 acres in the Central Oregon area.

Also a board member of the Deschutes
River Conservancy and the Upper Deschutes Watershed
Council, and of the National Resource Association.
Gentlemen, thank you for the opportunity.

I was a participant in the White House conference on Cooperative Conservation in St. Louis last year. And what became obvious to me were the

successful conservation efforts, even those involving ESA issues, rotated fully from mobile grass roots initiatives across multiinterest groups with the respect of private property rights.

And the challenge its had to focus, I believe, the federal effort to be more proactive rather than reactive going forward. So I have a few suggestions.

I suggest more appropriations across all departments or agencies similar to the Department of Interior's water 2025 program, which I urge Congressman Walden, as I have in the past, to support the authorization for that program again.

What's unique about that program, I believe, is that it was purposefully structured to be proactive and generated from the local level of the fine terms of eligibility and to focus. It was purposefully structured as a free market and it was a competitive process where they competed on a variety of factors.

This program generated a remarkable coalition here locally. We turned the Deschutes water lines and it involved all the Central Oregon cities, irrigation districts, Deschutes River Conservancy, and the confederated tribes of Warm

Springs. And helped us evaluate our water supply in this area of the basin over the next 50 years and help propose solutions, including a water bank.

Adding to this model could be perhaps already maybe addressed in the Cooperative Conservation Enhancement Act or being done is to establish specific programs for requiring coordinated effort amongst agencies. Similar to the reason legislation of levies in California are providing expedited NEPA. Or existing program called Bridging the Head Gates, which offers cooperation of resources between RCS and reclamation.

Building use private sector to satisfy government conditions as long as interdepartmental agencies standards and enhancing competitiveness by awarding eligibility points towards partnering.

Thank you.

MR. CASE: Thank you. 57? 58? 59?

MR. SCHEURING: 58. Congressman Walden,

members of the panel, good morning. My name is

Chris Scheuring. That's spelled S-C-H-E-U-R-I-N-G.

I'm with California Farm Bureau Federation.

We appreciate the opportunity to be here

today. Two minutes, of course, is not a great deal of time to talk about everything that we'd like to talk about. But I'm going to submit written comments. Over the next minute and 50 seconds here I'll just hit my few main points, if I could.

The first is landowner and permitting involvement in conservation decision-making. We believe the landowners and permitees have to be involved in the government's conservation decisions.

Private landowners have to be the key players in development species recovery plan, species recovery plans, as well as section 7 consultations that affect their lands.

As part of their routine operations farmers and ranchers engage in many practices that positively benefit species as acknowledged, for example, by the recent adoption of 4D rules for the California tiger salamander and the California red-legged frog.

This underscores the fact that landowners typically have management expertise that would benefit the listed species. And this expertise should certainly be shared and made available to federal agencies by allowing the permitee to fully participate in the section 7 process and in the

formulation of the recovery plans.

The second point I'd like to make is that old chestnut, permitting has to be streamlined and coordinated and has to allow for operation flexibilities for normal agriculture activities that are carried on by farmers and ranchers.

As it stands now, it's no stretch to say there are a lot of landowners out there who avoid engaging the government on conservation projects they might otherwise undertake because multiple layers of bureaucracy, time, and expense.

So to the maximum extent practical, we suggest the actions of the federal wildlife agencies have to be coordinated with sister federal agencies that have the permitting authority as well as the state governments.

Couple of suggestions. One, an increased reliance on things like problematic permits, standardized permits, model form agreements, and so forth. An example would be the availability of a code managed section 7 permit issued for conservation activities that were consistent, for example, with the NRCS, the FSA field manual on the subject, or other conservation programs that are administered by the federal government.

1 Second subpoint in that regard, there have to be time lines. This is a perennial concern. 2 But our folks are definitely interested in 3 4 compressed time lines, review, and processing and 5 consultation. This is a matter of money, among 6 other things, to our folks. 7 Third subpoint is that the federal government has to work with the states to improve 8 9 coordination when overlapping state permits that are also required. 10 11 Section 6 of the ESA, which I haven't 12 seen used a whole lot or referred to a whole lot, is entitled cooperation with states --13 14 MR. CASE: Thank you. 15 MR. SCHEURING: Is that it? I'm out of Well, thank you very much. 16 MR. CASE: 59. 17 MR. GRASTY: Good afternoon. 18 Welcome to 19 Central Oregon. I'm Steve Grasty, G-R-A-S-T-Y. a Harney County judge from Burns. Harney County is 20 the largest county in Oregon, 10,000-plus square 21 22 miles, home to the Steens mountains, and the Malheur wildlife refuge. 75 percent of our land 23

is managed by federal agencies, the Forest Service,

BLM, and refuge system.

24

25

But also as the private folks look at
this I know that many times we set an example of
Cooperative Conservation, cooperative management.
Not unique to Harney County, we've heard lots of it

already this morning.

I came up here with prepared remarks, but as I listen today I think there's a common theme here that I hope you're hearing, and I suspect that others of these legislations that you go around.

It's good to see our government at work, it's good to see us all here trying to make this process work. But local communities keep saying that they want to be there helping. And they've said it over and over today.

You've heard weakening ESA, strengthen the ESA, eliminate it, strengthen or weaken NEPA. You've heard all these varieties of people saying this is the way we want it or ought to be. But one thing that I hope you heard over and over is the local community saying, we want to participate in this and we want to help. And if there's one message I hope you walk away with it's that.

We need to allow flexibility to allow local decision-making, we need programs that recognize cultural issues and allow for the

continuation to go on. And you need to support those local economies or else set policy to eliminate them. I don't advocate for that but if we're going to eliminate them by default we just as well as set the policy anyway. Government needs to build on what's In our county there's hundreds of thousands and perhaps millions of birds more on

private ground than the refuge system at certain times of the year.

There are state agencies and federals that like to cut back on the water that will

eliminate that, and there's nowhere else for them to

14 | go.

I want to leave you with something that a gentleman said to me at a meeting the other night and may be most important to all here. He said, "Remember, we're here from the community and we're here to help you." Thank you.

MR. CASE: Thank you. 60? 61? 62? 63? 64? 63, okay.

MR. BURN: Thanks for the opportunity to talk to you guys, and thanks for coming out here to listen. I'm Dan Burn. We run cattle in the Klamath Basin on public and private lands.

And today I'm also speaking as a member

of the California Farm Bureau Federation Board of

Directors. We believe cooperation -
conservative -- relax some.

We support what you guys are trying to get done here. And we think it's essential to the success of the species, the environment, and the family ranchers.

We understand firsthand that the ESA needs to be updated, the consultation process needs major reform for biologists, define habitat needs for operators who can respond with proposed techniques to provide for those needs.

Our experience has proven how wildlife benefit from the Cooperative Conservation approach where the rancher is a key player in species protection.

Set the goal posts and give those who have their livelihood at risk a chance to help build a reasonable plan to reach the goal.

Only after understanding the import of those who are going to materially participate in the actual recovery effort should the terms and conditions in the drafting statement be issued.

Those terms need to allow for

flexibility of operations when monitoring in the

future and confirms habitat conditions are moving

towards the goal.

It will always be more cost-effective and beneficial to the species and the environment to address concerns with voluntary and community-based programs.

Litigation addressing species and environmental concerns is out of control. Right now organizations have the ability to have the lawyers' fees returned after suing the government agency.

That needs to be fixed.

The partners for wildlife program is great, needs to be expanded. And safe harbor, no surprises. Provisions need to be strengthened. We want the red band trout out on private land; we don't dare do it because they could become listed. So we're going to -- you know, can't take that risk. We need to be able to do those things.

I'm going to leave Acting Secretary

Thompson a copy of grazing changes seven years old

but highlights a lot of this stuff. If you'd be so

kind to get it to the Secretary. Thank you.

MR. CASE: Thank you. 64? 65?

MR. REANDEAU: My name is Larry Reandeau, R-E-A-N-D-E-A-U. I'm vice president of Local 1097 Steelworkers and western regional director of EEOC.

Since the early 1990s my region has suffered the loss of thousands of jobs in the forest products industry because of the listings of the Spotted Owl and salmon.

Unfortunately, the recovery plan of the Spotted Owl is not complete after 17 years, and we are now protecting forests that no longer have Spotted Owls in them. Partly due to the invasion of the Bart Owl. Salmon is still declining.

We're losing more critical habitat and valuable timber to wild fire and bug infestation than we logged in the 1980s. The fact is the Forest Service is spending a large portion of their budget on litigation and paperwork.

Dealing with all the agencies and complying with all the regulations is almost impossible. For example, in 1993 to 1996 the Pulp and Paper Worker Resource Council proposed a net project that would move salmon around the dams and help restore the salmon runs. It was supported by the National Oregon Fisheries, governors, and state fisheries, and other agencies.

We had to scrap the project because the Army Corps
of Engineers would not approve it.

We need your help. We would -- would you please consider the job loss and communities when you're making major decisions, and please simplify the process and work through the state and federal agencies. Thank you.

MR. CASE: Thank you. 66.

MR. CLINTON: My name is Jim Clinton.

That's spelled B-U-S-H. I'm a city councilor from the city of Bend. And I want to bring up a couple aspects of this -- everything we've been talking about that maybe you haven't heard yet.

Bend has grown from a lumber town of 15,000, 30 years ago to a regional center of 75,000. As we cope with the demands of rapid growth we look to build an economy that is sustainable while insuring our natural assets or preserves. Our rivers, forests, mountains, and desert are the basis of the unique appeal of this area.

With a college-based economy of this century, regions, states, and the country itself will prosper or decline depending on our ability to provide a suitable environment for this highly mobile, connected up, and global talent.

One key magnet is proximity to natural areas for recreation and take a break. Being in a place with a sense of place, a place that values the natural environment while providing urban amenities, the place that gets it.

We in Bend are working, for example, on a project that we believe will bring 10,000 good jobs to the city and to the region based on these very ideas.

Players in this new economy are interested in environmental protection, sustainability, and efficient use of energy. They are creating knowledge and organizing information. They're not into bulk commodities or mass consumption or sitting in a car on a stopped freeway. Their companies are highly value-added and innovative, and they locate where life offers the environment they want.

So cities of Central Oregon are embedded in Forest Service and BLM land, a huge benefit. For many of us our core values derive from this land.

We want this land to be protected and available to those who follow. We have no interest in diluted protections for our natural assets, but we certainly welcome cooperation.

We are anxious to work with federal agencies to protect the value of what is here and to restore what has been lost. We already have effective partnerships in place such as the Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board, Forest Legacy Program.

In Bend the city is supporting wilderness designation for the nearby badlands. I chair a committee looking at options to restore a park in downtown Bend. And our city's watershed depends on Forest Service land remaining pristine.

So thank you for visiting Central Oregon. We have a tradition of cooperation and we're ready to move forward. And as a scientist myself I really like your emphasis on using the best science that we can rely on for making decisions. We can easy fool ourselves, but we can never fool nature. Thank you.

MR. CASE: Thank you. 67.

CONGRESSMAN WALDEN: I'm going to have to excuse myself. I have a meeting that started about 27 minutes ago. And then I'm going with the Forest Service on a tour of the Black Crater fire.

So I sure appreciate all the testimony
I've sat through now, I think number 60 -- now
serving number 67, I think. Thank you all for
participating. I want to thank our panelists, too,

for their work, not only here but across the

country. They're great people to work with on the

issues it faces.

And I think the strength we have out of

And I think the strength we have out of a session like this is listening to each other in a civil way and learning from each other in a way that can build cooperation and actually get things done on the ground, streams, and forests. So thank you all. And with that I have to excuse myself.

MR. CASE: Thank you, Congressman. 67

MR. TAYLOR: Thank you. My name is

Bruce Taylor, T-A-Y-L-O-R. I'm the executive

director of the Oregon Habitat Joint Venture,

coalition of public agencies and private

organizations that have been practicing Cooperative

Conservation here in Oregon since 1991.

Our organization served the Oregon

Pacific Coast and intermountain areas

to the regional partnerships originally set up to

implement the North American water program and

since then expanded our focus to include habitats

for others, as well.

Our core group of partners over the years has been more than 25 agencies and organizations, including virtually all the state and federal

resource agencies in Oregon and a wide variety of private organizations.

Through those core partners we've extended the reach of the joint venture program from partnerships with individual landowners, tribes, local governments, watershed councils, and soil and water conservation districts.

The results have been pretty impressive.

Joint venture partners have been protected and

serve well over 150,000 acres of wetlands and other

high priority habitats in Oregon.

Partnerships and cooperation and collaboration, those are just ways we go about the business of getting conservation.

I'd like to tell you a lot more about that, but given the time constraints here I just want to offer three brief observations based on my 15 years with the joint venture partnership about the business of Cooperative Conservation.

The first has been the nature of investment in this. This administration has made an admirable commitment to funding Cooperative

Conservation programs that have had a truly major impact on the ground in Oregon, including the North

American Wildlife Conservation Act, the Wetlands

Reserves Program, the landowners incentive program, and others.

Unfortunately, budget caps have forced Congress to make a choice between grant programs for Cooperative Conservation and core operations of federal and natural resource agencies.

As helpful as these grant programs are, the work of the joint venture partners on the ground overall and continue to compromise funding for national wildlife refuge, federal land managers, like the Forest Service, Bureau of Land Management sustained commitment.

Cooperative Conservation we understand is fundamentally about relationships that takes some time. A year ago the sage grouse was up for listing. There were all kinds of proposals for initiatives for sage grouse. In 2006 you can't even find the word "sage grouse" in the federal budget.

We do have coalitions together, partners waiting in place, landowners waiting for projects.

Finally, I'd just mention coordination.

Everyone likes to think their dollars but somebody's got to pay for the coordination to bring partnerships together. Joint venture's been very lucky in that respect, a lot of support in Congress

and President, but that's a really important 1 function. 2 3 MR. CASE: Thank you. 4 MR. TAYLOR: Appreciate your support. 5 Thank you. 6 MR. CASE: Thank you. 68. 7 MR. BREMS: My name is David Brehms, from the National Parks Conservation Association. I wanted to 8 thank all the gentlemen for coming here today. 9 My first comment was for Secretary 10 11 Kempthorne, but I'll give it to you and Department of Interior in general. 12 I would like to thank the Department of 13 Interior for its recent work on the national parks 14 15 services management policies. current draft provides details resource 16 protection and visitor access. We're very pleased 17 18 with the Secretary's decision on that policy. Second, the NPCA hopes that an important 19 part of Cooperative Conservation will include proper 20 21 funding or full funding for the national parks 22 service in hopes that they -- Department of Interior push more funding for the parks. 23 The full funding for the parks will not 24

only benefit the parks, obviously, such as Crater

25

Lake in Oregon, but it also benefits the local communities which gain a lot of economic benefits from having a park nearby.

The potential visitors feel they won't receive quality experience because of the lack of park staff and/or lack of resources protection.

This will hurt these communities by having less visitors come to the park, and, therefore, they will suffer economically. So full funding benefits a lot of people, not just the park.

Finally, the NPCA, we want to encourage and promote Cooperative Conservation. We feel it's very important. But at the same time we also believe the laws such as NEPA and ESA should not be weakened as a result of trying to encourage Cooperative Conservation.

Many visitors to the national parks visit with the hope that they will be able to see some of this wildlife that is protected and being restored by the ESA, such as Peregrine falcons in the Grand Canyon, the desert tortoise in Southern California, and wolves and grizzly bears in Yellowstone are some of the examples of species that have been -- have had a benefit from the Endangered Species Act.

So I just wanted to get those comments, 1 and I thank you for your time. 2 MR. CASE: Thank you. 69? 70. 3 4 MR. LILLEBO: I'm Tim Lillebo. 5 the Oregon Natural Resources Council. And my name 6 is spelled L-I-L-E-B-O. And I was going to say, 7 hello Greg Walden, hello Mark Rey, glad to see you guys out here. But, you know, it's 8 9 like, I'm sorry, I'm a little disappointed the listeners aren't here to listen. It's too bad, 10 11 really. But talk to the rest of you. 12 I think you should have a listening on the coast for the fishermen that have just suffered 13 the greatest salmon and fishing closure that has 14 15 been done in the history of Oregon Coast. We should try to get some more words from those folks. 16 They're the ones suffering right now. 17 18 This Cooperative Conservation sounds 19 great, but in reality we've got to have strong conservation environmental laws, like the Endangered 20 Species Act. And those laws need to be 21 22 strengthened. 23 Because without those laws, in combination with people getting together, we just 24

don't see the real conservation can be happening.

25

You need to have some standards, some guidelines to go by. That's what environmental laws provide.

That's what they give the entire American public, something to stand up to and look back to and say, this is where we're going to keep our clean water, this is how we're going to have a good quality of life. So we need to have those laws, as well.

We have -- been five years since the Klamath Basin crisis came. And what we've ended up with, whatever kinds of working out the conservation groups and others and farmers, we've had a disaster. We've had 60,000 dead fish, we've had the biggest closures, fishermen out of work.

And I think we really need to structure differently.

We're one of the litigators down in

Klamath Basin. We had to sue to say, Wait a minute,

we need a long-term solution. So instead of

spending millions or hundreds of millions of dollars

bailing out farmers or bailing out salmon or bailing

out fishermen, why don't we just simply get

together, spend those funds in a real methodical

rational way to resolve the issues in the Klamath

Basin. Let's do some recovery, let's recover the

salmon, that sort of thing.

There has been some good conservation cooperation. The Klamath dam looks like a real good potential. And, Greg, if he was here, was instrumental, conservation groups, tribes in getting that dam out to help out those fish down in that basin. The dam, also looks like it's going to be coming out. So there's success.

We have a project here called the Glaze

Forest Black Butte project proposed by my group, the

Oregon Natural Resources Council, and the Warm

Springs Forest Products Industries, or the tribes.

And that project will do fitting, will do some cutting of trees to be used for boards, and also be using biomass products and do some burning.

And it's all thanks to natives.

So the Forest Service is not proposing this, our conservation organization did and the tribes did. We put it together, we raised \$80,000. So we think it's a pretty good opportunity the city of Sisters, all the communities are saying let's do it. That's the kind --

MR. CASE: Thank you.

MR. LILLEBO: The main thing about it is we're talking about protecting the large old growth Ponderosa Pine, fire-resistant trees --

MR. CASE: Thank you.

MR. LILLEBO: Keeping those trees in place, thinning out the smaller trees, helping out long-term restoration for forestries.

So, anyway, leave that message that I think that's the way you can get some things done. Have the right parameters, protecting the old growth, protecting fish, then we can do some projects that help do the restoration. Thank you.

MR. CASE: Thank you. 71? 72? 73? 74?

75? 76? 77? 78? If anybody in the 70s or 80s,

not born in the '70s or '80s, but have a number? So 78, 79,

80, 81? 81.

MR. FOREMAN: Got it. Hello
distinguished panel. My name is Kyle Foreman, and I
want to say, to start out with, I am a fifth
generation Oregonian. My forefathers came out on
the Oregon Trail in 1855. And I have two small
boys. Of course, they're sixth generation
Oregonians. We live here in Central Oregon.

And I have an unexplainable connection to Oregon and natural beauty, and I think it may stem from the fact that my parents brought me out here nearly 40 years ago in Central Oregon when I was six weeks old on a sightseeing tour, and I've been

sightseeing and enjoying Oregon beauty since then.

But in my professional life I'm the south central region manager of Oregon Water Resources

Department in Bend, Oregon. And I've worked for the agency since 1990. And I was the water master for the Deschutes Basin for nine years.

I am the only founding member left on the board of directors for the Upper Deschutes Watershed Council, and I'm on the board of directors for the Deschutes River Conservancy. And I also serve as a member on the Upper Klamath Basin Working Group.

And my input today will be from a perspective of all these representative entities that provide valuable restoration efforts in the watersheds of the region in which I work.

I serve on these boards because I feel that through implementation of Cooperative Conservation plans for salmon and watersheds, Oregon's a national leader and has demonstrable results that prove that point.

In the 16 years that I've worked in the region I've seen stream flows in certain regions of streams go from zero flow to nearly 20 cubic feet per second. Not only a remarkable increase but an increase that allows nearly 40 percent of the lowest

monthly flow to be put in stream without the need
for heavy-handed government regulation.

This extraordinary restoration was accomplished through cooperative agreements and programs without regulation by the government.

Granted, our office, meaning the Water Resources Department, through regulation protects those stream flows, but it is not to the detriment of an individual or an irrigation district.

Watershed Councils and other groups are locally formed and managed and are composed of broad cross-sections of community interests, such as timber, agriculture, conservation groups, local, state, federal agencies, tribal governments, universities, and et cetera, just to name a few. With this broad-based support the work that they do has the support of a community.

The federal government can help in several ways. One, provide steady long-term involvement in investment which provides support for sufficient staffing to provide critical technical assistance and assist with permitting processes in a timely manner.

And, two, provide steady sufficient federal funding to map state and private investments

which through those investments Cooperative 1 Conservation and powers local communities, puts 2 projects on the ground more efficiently that then 3 4 results in long-term positive impacts to the 5 watershed.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

An example of this type of funding is the Pacific Coast Salmon Recovery Fund, which has helped fund OWEB in times of state budget shortfalls, as well as boost resources that an agency can provide to local watershed councils and others.

In closing, I'd like to thank you for the opportunity to speak today and to provide the opportunity to hear all the great things that Oregon is doing through the Cooperative Conservation efforts, we all stand to enjoy a better future.

83?

MR. CASE: Thank you. 82? Distinguished panel, thank SPEAKER: you for being here and staying until this hour to listen to the Oregonians.

I am a fifth generation Oregonian, but that's not really why I'm here today. I'm here today in my role with the Northwest Pulp and Paper Association to talk about the point source side of issues on environmental issues and conservation, the side that we haven't really heard a lot about today

because there aren't a lot of point source dischargers in this area, they're more located on the western side of the state.

And so what I'd like to tell you about are some things that work very well with point source discharges and some things that the Northwest Pulp and Paper Association, which is a 50-year-old trade association, has participated in that we think work very well.

And one of those is providing funding to provide science on the ground, and to work cooperatively with the Environmental Protection Agency in rule setting and in water quality standard setting, and these types of venues in order to bring the science to bear.

Because we -- when we're making pulp and paper it's a complicated process, and when we can bring the science to bear to help the regulatory decision-makers we think that that's the best possible outcome.

Second, part of what I do is that I sit

on the board of the Lower Columbia Estuary

Partnership. And as Mr. Lohn understands,

The Lower Columbia Estuary Partnership is a group under the auspices of the EPA that is doing a lot of

conservation and habitat restoration work in the lower basin. And that has stakeholders from a broad range of groups. I want you to know that works very well.

The pulp and paper industry has sat on that group since it started in this state over a decade ago. And we think that that type of a venue to funnel federal dollars into working on habitat restoration on the ground is an excellent way to spend funds.

The last thing I'd like to talk about is water quality standards and the development of water quality standards. The Clean Water Act is an act that's based on gradual improvement over time. The typing of water quality standards to bring measured improvement on the grounds in our waters, and if we don't meet those standards they will go on an O3D list and then not get developed.

But one thing we need to make sure of is water quality standards are developed is that we work cooperatively with all the partners and we understand everybody's viewpoint.

Oregon has been riddled with issues of water quality standards development. Each of these three standards have a history all of their own; the

temperature standard, the toxic standards, and the 1 rapidity standards, and efforts to revise the 2 rapidity standard. 3 4 And one thing I'd like you guys to look 5 into is Oregon's history with these three standards. 6 Now, when we give the standards to the EPA to have 7 it approved, one thing that's important is the federal family all work together in the consultation 8 process to bring that to fruition so we can put it 9 on the work back in Oregon. 10 11 And when we do have litigation we have to 12 vigorously defend your partners. And when we run into problems in this whole environmental area we 13 ask one way you can work cooperatively with all of 14 15 us is to vigorously defend your partners on the ground and your industrial partners in --16 MR. CASE: Thank you. 17 18 SPEAKER: -- working to solve the 19 problems. Thank you. MR. CASE: Thank you. 86? Anybody in 20 the 80s? 21 22 MR. CASE: 90s? 23 MR. MARLETT: I'm not quite the last or But my name is Bill Marlett. Welcome to 24

Central Oregon, distinguished panel members.

25

think it's great what you guys are doing. It's a good opportunity to reach out and solicit comments from the public.

I represent the Oregon Natural

Desert Association. We work on a number of ESA

issues in Oregon and across the west. I want to

talk about one specific problem, if you will, and
one possible solution that you might consider.

We've been actively involved in

litigation with the Mid-Columbia Steelhead on the

Mount Hood National Forest for the past five years.

It's been a long-term effort, one that we've not

really enjoyed, but I can tell you that the problem

that we are looking at and what we've observed over

these past five years is the fact that we got an

agency that is not well funded and not well prepared

to take on responsibilities the Congress gave

it.

A lot of people complain about the ESA and the act not doing what it should be or not delivering. And we have to remember that it's the agencies on the ground that have to deliver the final analysis. And Congress doesn't give them the money and the resources to do their job correctly, yes, they will end up in court.

So it's not a solution that we seek, but we do feel the government accountability is vitally important to the American public. And it's something that I hope in this collective effort in listening about these Cooperative Conservation that you don't lose sight of the fact that there is a core function the government has to perform, and that the outreach to the public and the encouragement of volunteer opportunities is great, but you can't lose sight of that core function on public lands.

One of the cooperative solutions that we have been seeking for some time has been working BLM in the Upper Deschutes here. They did allow for the retirement or relinquishment, if you will, of public lands grazing permits.

We feel that is something that

conservation groups and the ranching community can

work together on over time, something that we look

forward in the John Day Basin on the Mount Hood

National Forest as a possible solution. And it's a kind of solution where I think that this particular quorum might be able to encourage in a more structured format on a westwide basis.

With that I'll conclude my comments.

Thank you very much.

MR. CASE: Thank you.

MS. GAINSFORTH: Thank you for remaining with us and welcome to Central Oregon. And we really appreciate your time. I think this is a very important thing. And I also want to acknowledge the signers and the reporter over there that's been taking very careful notes so they'll get back to Washington and be coherent.

My name's Patricia Gainsforth,

G-A-I-N-S-F-O-R-T-H. I'm an elected director of the

Deschutes Water Conservation District, president of

the Y East Resource Conservation Development

Council, which is the Deschutes Basin, essentially,

and Hood River County.

And I'm also on the board of the North

Tomalo Irrigation District, and do a number of state

and national conservation -- national resources

conservation service kind of things. I'm on the

RCD -- I have been on the RCD National Board and on

the National Association of Conservation District

Board.

And I bring that perspective because over time, it has been about 20 years since I was elected, there's been diminishing dollars flowing

into the natural resource agencies that I'm familiar with. I work also on the RACK committee distributing rural dollars to school -- or the dollars to schools here in Oregon. And those dollars are all gone away, also.

I've sat on the Governor's Watershed
Enhancement Board, which was a precursor to the OWEB
board. And it turns out to be a lot about dollars.
And you've heard those things expressed all the way
through the last couple of days.

Conservation districts have been doing natural resource management for over 60 years, and we're still here doing it, we're still elected locally.

I'd turn my comments into your five questions, but I'd like to talk about a couple of things. The one being the diminishing resources. We have to work together better to stretch those dollars further. That the things we do need to create a safe harbor and ways to keep people focused on things that really work.

And all politics, all the economies, all those things are local, and so we really need to be included in the decisions that are made about local issues, whether at a state or county level.

MR. CASE: Thank you. Anybody else in 1 2 the 90s? In the 100s? Okay. What's your number? MR. PITT: 3 108. 4 MR. CASE: Okay. Go ahead. 5 MR. PITT: Thank you. Once again, 6 reduced to a number. I'm Louis Pitt, Junior, 7 Director of government affairs and planning of the Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs. 8 4,000th generation. 9 Bureau of Indian Affairs under the 10 11 Interior, is a necessary evil for us in that we have a 12 loving relationship with the bureau, but they're better than nothing with the United States of 13 America. And so we try to nurture our relationship 14 with those folks and funding of those folks to 15 Us on and off the reservations is very valuable 16 17 to us. 18 And one of the main things that any partnership's understanding what one partner is, we 19 gave to the United States 10 million acres of which 20 land that you stand on now are lands that were given 21 22 to the federal government and then given to the 23 state and county. And so you can see why we have

25 One of the things, too, is that we retain

such an attitude at times.

24

those treaty rights, treaty rights to fish, hunt,
gather roots and berries, and graze our stocks on
unclaimed land is something that has to be
considered in all plans.

Fish is very much a part of our way of life on all fronts. We will do what we have to do.

Off reservation treaty rights must be understood with roots, berries, et cetera access.

One of the good things that we have is a great partnership on the Columbia River where we originally come from. Thousands of years there, and working with state parks, Corps of Engineers,

American Affairs on access to the river. Wonderful project working together. Access, number 1 issue on the Columbia River, other than fish, of course, to us.

Reclamation up river work with, I think
Columbia and John Day, it needs to learn how to work
with us.

BLM needs to seriously look at its more respectful view of sacred human remains on their properties, as we've had a situation with them where they would not allow reburial of a human remain.

And, again, these are lands with some of these people in -- we gave to the United States

before even the birth of the BLM. So somewhat set aside by that. So thank you very much.

MR. CASE: Thank you. 100.

MR. KELLY: Good afternoon. Thanks for coming. My name's Steve Kelly, K-E-L-L-Y. I'm an attorney for the Confederate Tribes of Grand Ronde. And there are number of programs that the tribes engage in with the federal and state agencies that probably we need to have a conversation about.

One in particular regarding the species act, which we believe has been a success for the tribe, and also for the species. Species I'm speaking of is the Nelson's checker-mallow, which is a plant that grows in the Willamette Valley and happens to grow in the Grand Ronde area in Grand Ronde, Oregon, which is on the western side of the Willamette Valley. That's where the tribes' lands are now, although they used to be much greater of course.

Anyway, the program that was put in place under this agreement between the tribe and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service was, in essence, the tribe set aside certain reserves on tribal land for preservation of the plant. The plant is a threatened species. And in return there was much greater solidification of regulatory process.

And so, in essence, the tribe has preserved or helped preserve the plant, has now engaged in active management to preserve the plant to the benefitted species. At the same time streamlines the regulatory process for the tribe in terms of use of own lands.

And so it's really a classic win/win situation where it's good for everyone. And I would certainly bring it back to your respective agencies to look at this as a model, I'm sure there are many others, to use as kind of an approach to find solutions to other problems in the future. And we certainly look forward to working with all of your agencies in the future. Thank you.

MR. CASE: Thank you.

MR. SHIPLEY: I thought this was the antique road show. So since I'm here I'll go ahead and say something anyway.

My name is Jack Shipley, S-H-I-P-L-E-Y.

I'm a 38-year-old resident of Josephine County, and

I live 15 miles south of Grants Pass. I'm a

founding member of the Applegate Partnership and

current chair of the Applegate Partnership. We've

been actively involved in collaborative conservation

for the last 14 years in Southwest Oregon.

Our group has been both a poster child for collaborative conservation, and the Quincy Library Group that has -- that some special

interests have loved to hate.

The Applegate Valley was designated as one of ten adaptive management areas that was established in the Northwest. The Applegate Valley is a 500,000 acre watershed that makes up part of the Rogue River Basin, 70 percent of which is managed by either the BLM or Forest Service.

We have an opportunity in front of us right now that will promote collaborative conservation into the future. BLM is currently involved in a Western Oregon plan revision process, and we need this group's help.

We have submitted a proposal to the BLM that the Applegate watershed be formally designated as an adaptive management area within the Western Oregon plan revision process and be considered part of the preferred alternative. Not to be included within the no action alternative in the process.

Our proposal is compatible with the 1937
O and C Act. If this AMA designation is not
codified in the BLM planning process there's no
compelling reason for the agencies to continue to

engage with our community.

We plan to submit this same proposal to the U.S. Forest Service when they begin their ten-year planning process. We desire that public forest land management be seamless across the landscape. We want to see the continuity of forest management over time that is not affected by the vagaries of political change in four or eight years.

Please encourage Elaine Rong, state director of BLM, to codify our proposal of the Western Oregon plan with due process. Her advocacy will go a long way toward supporting collaborative conservation in Southwest Oregon.

We believe that the economy and the environment are not mutually exclusive. Help us to continue to be a model for collaborative conservation that's ecologically responsible, economically viable, and socially acceptable.

I submitted two papers that address our proposal and welcome any questions later on. Thank you very much.

MR. CASE: Thank you. Anybody with a number less than 110 that hasn't spoken? Less than 115? Less than 120? Is there anybody that would

like to speak that hasn't had a chance yet today?

Okay. So 121 -- 120, okay.

MS. GOOLD: I came here to speak, so I'm going to speak. And thank you so much for coming and listening to us today. My name is Karen Goold, G-O-O-L-D. And I'm from Sprague River, Oregon. And my husband and I are ranchers in that area, cattle ranchers. And we're interested in protecting our riparian area.

I'm the cochair of the Watershed Working
Group in Sprague River. And we've been learning
about the benefits of managed grazing. I understand
that the impacts of grazing are different in the
areas like ours. And if we manage grazing on the
area so that the cattle are out there only certain
times of the year the ground has been damaged. In
fact, the cows keep the weeds down and encourage new
growth of the native vegetation. Right now we're
doing this voluntarily.

We have looked into the CREP program

because I understood it was a good form of

Cooperative Conservation. But we found that the no

grazing language is so strict that it won't allow

for managed grazing like this, which is necessary.

We also learned that we can be severely

penalized if our neighbors' cattle trespass on our riparian area. And, in fact, that happened to another rancher in our area.

Since cows swim and you can't fence a river we need time to contact the neighbor and get the cattle off. So I want to see the language of the CREP program changed in two ways which currently does not allow for even one invasive cow.

In the CREP program area, your contract can be voided, you can be fined, and you might even be canceled permanently from the program.

So we would like to ask for the CREP to allow for managed grazing so that the cattle are off the riparian areas when they would damage them and be allowed to graze there when it would be helpful for the vegetation.

And, also, number 2, to allow reasonable time for landowners to contact neighbors and remove any trespassing cattle.

I understand the Farm Bill is coming up for renewal this next year, and I hope you can make some changes so that landowners can participate in CREP and really improve their riparian areas without fear of unreasonable penalties. Thank you.

MR. CASE: Thank you. 121.

MS. WATSON: Afternoon. Thank you for sticking around and hearing us. I'm Danette Watson, I'm the watershed council coordinator of the Upper Klamath Basin. I work quite a bit with farmers and ranchers out in the Sprague River Valley and also the project area.

And I can tell you that what Karen just said is absolutely true. People know how important it is to restore their riparian areas and are willing to protect them, but they're scared of the CREP, Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program.

Two reasons, the first is the issue of trespass cattle. It is the reality, especially in the Sprague because it is open range, cattle are allowed to roam free, it is historic open range, and it's the responsibility of adjacent landowners to keep other people's cattle out.

So people need time to get them out without worrying that they will be -- that the contract will be terminated and they'll have to pay back any money they've already spent and penalties to boot.

Secondly, we've learned a lot since the first no grazing philosophy came out. Managed grazing is a good thing in desert areas like ours. It keeps down basic weeds and encourages native

vegetation. But CREP forbids it.

I guess what I'm asking for is flexibility. Flexibility in the way the law is written, flexibility in the way it's enforced so that the focus is on results and a healthy riparian area. There are lots of people ready to do the right thing if you'll just work with them. Thank you.

MR. CASE: Thank you. 122.

MS. MORTON: Hi, I'm Karen Morton, I work with the Upper Klamath Basin Working Group. There's a lot of talk about the need for sound science, and everybody agrees. The tricky thing, of course, is determining whose science is sound. Of course, my science is sound and your science is junk science.

And as strange as that seems, it's pretty serious. It's the kind of thing that tears communities like ours in Klamath Falls apart. And the Upper Basin Working Group has been developing a way to avoid the consequences of that. And that is by having each of their -- each of the stakeholder groups designate one scientist to sit on a team that does the planning and the prioritization of actions for restoration in the upper basin.

And most importantly, they agreed that up

front, they agreed that they would accept whatever decisions that team could develop in advance of the actions.

In a way it's like building in peer review up front. It's helping us avoid the polarizations that keeps us arguing in and out of court, and it's allowed us to move forward together doing restoration, measuring results, and adapting what we do so what we do works.

I often think if we can do more of this, get diverse scientists together up front to work out the research questions, the recommended actions that measure the success and contingency plans we save ourselves a lot of time and money, we do better restoration, we learn faster from our mistakes, and we work together towards solutions in ways that don't tear communities apart. Thanks.

MR. CASE: Thank you. 123.

MS. KILHAM: Yes, thank you so much for sticking with us. I'm Alice Kilham, K-I-L-H-A-M, chairman of the Klamath River Compact Commission. I have spent the last ten years working towards basinwide solutions to natural resource issues.

Over the years there have been numerous and apparently uncoordinated attempts by various

arms of the federal government to address these
resource issues. And the time to focus our efforts

is long past due.

Internal and external studies of the Klamath have offered different analyses of the situation. But the thing that has been consistent is the absolute necessity of involving stakeholders if we are to create any long-term solutions.

Over the past two years we've held a series of workshops up and down the Klamath Basin. Stakeholders have come together to seek solutions that will restore our environment and stabilize our economies. We will next meet again November 7th to 9th at the Klamath Basin Watershed Conference, and we would welcome your participation.

We receive Congressman Walden's call for a summons on the Klamath with enthusiasm. We really do need federal leadership shared by all your departments and a decision-making process that must ultimately be stakeholder based.

I would ask the Secretaries to call a summons on the Klamath encouraging bipartisan and bi-state participation. In the end if we are to restore the Klamath Basin there will have to be coordinated efforts supported by the Administration

and Congress.

I appreciate your coming and I appreciate a listening session. But solutions really only come when we have discussion and when we have give and take, and then different interests are allowed to try to find common ground and common solutions. Thank you.

MR. CASE: Thank you. Anyone else in the 120s? 130s? Is there anyone else that has not had a chance to speak today that would like to? With that, then on behalf of the all the people -- oh, I'm sorry, I'm sorry.

MR. ROLA: Should have waved a flag.

My name is Jeff Rola, I work for the

Deschutes Water Conservation, and welcome to

Deschutes County. And thank you for you patience
and perseverance in this listening session.

Rather than speak about Cooperative

Conservation I'll speak a little bit about the

fragmentation, and the fragmentation seen in

Deschutes County with large holdings of agricultural

lands cut up into smaller and smaller agricultural

lands that are more residential than primary

agriculture.

The fragmentation of the land has really

been mirrored by the fragmentation of problematic-type applications for conservation to put on the land that are based on participating individual landowners.

Because the land has been fragmented and become more of an urban landscape than a rural landscape, in order to address natural resource concerns it's really important that those fragmented programs start to look cooperative in how they develop the resource and how they can get the resource back into shape in the reality that we face with outrageously high land values and continuing pressure to fragment the land into smaller and smaller pieces.

The average size exclusive farm parcel in Deschutes County is about six acres now. In this Kind of climate that's not really considered production agriculture. And production agriculture is the eligibility requirement for most incentives for conservation. So we deal with that paradox every day.

The way to surmount or the way to really get connected with the resource concerns is to focus more on CRMP and other types of cooperative measures that involve numbers of stakeholders that get people

to agree in resource management issues on a landscape basis.

And that kind of cooperative conservation is really the key to addressing the concerns of the resource in this landscape of change that we're facing.

So I urge you to look at that as not just initiatives for individuals but more a cooperative venture that gives you the best return on your investment. And thank you again for your patience.

MR. CASE: Thank you. Is there anyone else who would like to speak? If not, then on behalf of all the agencies represented up here I'd sure like to thank you for your participation and your patience. I don't know if there's anything to add from any of the panelists before we leave.

MR. OTIS: As you can see from this thing, I'm Rick Otis with the Environmental Protection Agency. Most of what we talked about today has to do with actions and programs that are under my fellow agencies at the table here.

What I want to tell you and leave you one thing is I've heard several times today this -- a question over if we were to adopt or foster more Cooperative Conservation projects or efforts or

solutions that they somehow or other would displace the core program, the underlying statutory activity.

One of the things that we have found in the pollution control world that my office and my agency deal with is that we find that some of these sorts of programs actually get us vastly better results much faster than if we did the underlying older regulation writing or some of the older processes that we have had for 35 years.

And so what we're beginning to learn is that it isn't really a matter of if you have extra resources let's have this nice Cooperative

Conservation thing, but we still have to do the core program. What we're beginning to learn is this actually either augments or in some cases replaces the core program and does a better job.

So what we're trying to aim at within my department is a better understanding of when there is a collaborative cooperative solution to a problem that may get us there faster, better, cheaper than another way, then we take that avenue versus the other way.

And part of the challenge we face is understanding when that's the right thing to do and when it's a good thing to do.

I just finished two years' worth of tough slugging negotiations to pull 75 tons of mercury emissions out of our air. It wasn't easy. It took us a while to figure out in the beginning if it went down the path of the core program of writing regulations we wouldn't get that 75 tons until ten years from now. But what's happening with the solution that we created under collaborative negotiation we're going to have that start today.

And so for us it's not really this trade-off one or the other, it's understanding when is the right tool to use.

By the way, I want to thank everybody for coming. This has been very interesting for me to listen to.

MR. LIMBAUGH: Yeah, I too would like to thank everybody for coming. And one of the things I guess, this is the second session that I've attended with the Secretary. And one of the things I have to say is that with also my experience of working on the ground and water issues in Idaho, the hardest thing to do is to put down your swords and go into a room and work things out.

And I think we also see that that's also the best wins and the most sustainable actions that

we can do that can get people to work together and form our own destinies rather than allowing a court or lawyer telling us with a to do.

And I think that's very important and that's really the underlying thesis behind Cooperative Conservation, one that we will continue to push.

And I appreciate everybody coming out on your own time and being so passionate about these important issues. It's really fantastic. Thank you.

MR. LOHN: Since we're doing closing comments here, first of all, thank you for your hospitality, thank you for your stability. I'm glad we were able to touch upon difficult topics

It was very useful, and for us informative. And thank you, also, for your ideas.

You may wonder, are we just sort of sitting up here moving a pencil and occasionally looking at the audience. For me something like this, I leave a changed person. You have reminded me of some things, taught me some things, given me some new ideas and some encouragement. I appreciate it. It is -- it's a challenge to serve in resource positions but it's a pleasure to serve good people.

1 Thank you for it.

MR. CASE: I would also like to thank

Colleen and our sign interpreters for their

assistance and diligence in this whole thing. And

my feet would also to thank all of you for finishing

up here. If there's no more comments from the

podium then, again, thanks for your participation

and we'll close the meeting.

(The proceedings concluded 1:14 p.m.)